Lecture 13

- FFT (Fast Fourier Transform): resolution
- Solar abundance (Stix pp. 215-219)
- Doppler shift (Stix pp. 226-228)



Last time

- Standing waves from 2 traveling ones
- granulation & oscillation different things
- Fourier transform \leftarrow quantum mechanics
- evanescent waves \rightarrow tunneling
- cavity in the Sun!



and what is different?

Helioseismology: change at 0.7R



GONG global oscillation network group



Since late 1980ties



Continuous coverage: why?

- A. Avoid missing rare events
- B. To get sharper lines
- C. To include larger frequencies
- D. To include smaller frequencies
- E. To avoid artifacts like side lobes

$$\Delta \omega = \frac{2\pi}{t_{\text{max}}} \qquad \omega_{\text{max}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{2\pi}{\Delta t} \qquad \omega_{\text{Nyquist}} = \frac{\pi}{\Delta t}$$

Because there are also negative frequencies $-\omega_{Nyquist} \le \omega \le \omega_{Nyquist} - \Delta \omega$

Numerical FFT experiments



Advantage of accumulating nights





Open problems

Abundance of heavier elements (Z)

	X	Y	Ζ
GS98	.735	.249	.0231
AGS05	.739	.249	.0165

Opacity sensitive to Z Theory of convection Convective overshoot



Doppler shift: linearize about u₀=const

Expand continuity eqn:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho - \rho \,\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

Momntum eqn (isothermal):

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = -\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{\Re T}{\mu} \nabla \rho + \dots$$

Linearized form

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_1 - \rho_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_1$$

 $\partial t = -\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 - \frac{\Re T}{\mu} \nabla \rho_1$

Trial solution
$$\rho_1(z,t) = \hat{\rho}_1 e$$
="ansatz" $u_{1z}(z,t) = \hat{u}_{1z}$

$$\hat{\rho}_{1}(z,t) = \hat{\rho}_{1}e^{ik_{z}z-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{1z}(z,t) = \hat{u}_{1z}e^{ik_{z}z-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i}\,\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{u}_{0z}\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{z} & -\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{z}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{0} \\ -\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{z}\frac{\Re T}{\mu} & \mathbf{i}\,\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{0} - \boldsymbol{u}_{0z}\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{1} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{1z} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Dispersion relation

$$(\omega - u_{0z}k_z)^2 = \frac{\Re T}{\mu}k_z^2$$
 $c_s = \sqrt{\Re T/\mu}$ Sound speed

Internal angular velocity

Rotational splittings

$$\omega_{nlm} - \omega_{nl0} = m \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{R} K(r,\theta) \Omega(r,\theta) r \mathrm{d}r \,\mathrm{d}\theta$$



Internal angular velocity from helioseismology



Cycle dependence of Ω(r,θ)





Travel time differences



- Contrib. from whole path
- Esp. top layers (c_s small)
- \rightarrow averaging over rays through same point



- Removes strong contributions from top layers
- Could they be right?



Turbulence imaging





By contrast, in the Sun:

- A. Waves at high *k* travel faster
- B. Wave speed independent of k
- C. Only near surface high *k* slower
- D. Only for long waves high k slower

What have we learnt today?

- FFT: line width, side lobes, ...
- Doppler shift: from dispersion relation
- Monday: convection & mixing length theory

