ASTR/ATOC-5410: Fluid Instabilities, Waves, and Turbulence

Problem Set 2: KEY (Due Fri., Sept 23, 2016)

September 7, 2016, Axel Brandenburg

- 1. MRI with inclined field.
 - (a) Show that the MRI with an inclined field, $B_0 = (0, B_{0y}, B_{0z})$ and a spatial dependence of all variables only in the z direction is given by the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -2\Omega & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i}k\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} & 0\\ S+2\Omega & \sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i}k\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0}\\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & \mathrm{i}kc_{\mathrm{s}}^2 & 0 & \mathrm{i}k\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0}\\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{i}k & \sigma & 0 & 0\\ -\mathrm{i}kB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0\\ 0 & -\mathrm{i}kB_{0z} & \mathrm{i}kB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_{x1} \\ \hat{u}_{y1} \\ \hat{u}_{z1} \\ \hat{\rho}_1/\rho_0 \\ \hat{B}_{x1} \\ \hat{B}_{y1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(1)

- (b) Expand the matrix governing the eigenvalue problem and discuss the solutions. To simplify the notation, define the two Alfvén frequencies $\omega_{Ay}^2 = k^2 v_A^2$ and $\omega_{Az}^2 = k^2 v_A^2$, with $v_A^2 = B_{0z}^2/(\mu_0 \rho_0)$ and v_A being the Alfvén velocity. as well as the acoustic frequency $\omega_c^2 = k^2 c_s^2$. Begin by discussing special cases: verify that the usual MRI solution is recovered for $B_{0y} = 0$.
- (c) Next, discuss $B_{0y} \neq 0$ and either (i) $B_{0z} = \Omega = S = 0$, (ii) $\Omega = S = 0$ with $B_{0z} \neq 0$, (iii) S = 0 with $\Omega \neq 0$ and $B_{0z} \neq 0$, and finally the case (iv) in which neither S, Ω , nor B_{0z} vanish,
- (d) Compute solutions of the full problem numerically. Plot first σ^2 as a function of the inclination θ of the field $B_0 = B_0(0, \sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ for $\Omega = S = 0$ and $c_s = 1$ and (i) $v_A = 0.7$, (ii) $v_A = 1$, (iii) $v_A = 2$.
- (a) In the presence of rotation and shear, the MHD equations for the departure from the

shear flow \boldsymbol{u} , the magnetic field \boldsymbol{B} , and the density ρ becomes

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + Sx \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial y} + u_x S \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{u} = -\rho^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nabla} P + \rho^{-1} \boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B},$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + Sx \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial y} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{B} = B_x S \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} + \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + Sx \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho = -\rho \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}.$$
(4)

Let us here, for simplicity, consider an isothermal equation of state, i.e., $P = \rho c_s^2$, where $c_s = \text{const.}$ The equations can be readily linearized about $\boldsymbol{u} = 0$, $\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}_0 = \text{const.}$ and $\rho = \rho_0 = \text{const.}$ For the following, we assume $\boldsymbol{B}_0 = (0, B_{0y}, B_{0z})$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} = (0, 0, \partial_z)$. We assume that all perturbations are proportional to $e^{\sigma t + ikz}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -2\Omega & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i}k\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} & 0\\ S+2\Omega & \sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i}k\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0}\\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & \mathrm{i}kc_{\mathrm{s}}^2 & 0 & \mathrm{i}k\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0}\\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{i}k & \sigma & 0 & 0\\ -\mathrm{i}kB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0\\ 0 & -\mathrm{i}kB_{0z} & \mathrm{i}kB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_{x1} \\ \hat{u}_{y1} \\ \hat{u}_{z1} \\ \hat{\rho}_1/\rho_0 \\ \hat{B}_{x1} \\ \hat{B}_{y1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
(5)

Б



Figure 1: Starting from the usual MRI case (here $\Omega = 1$, S = -3/2, $v_{Ay} = 0$ and $c_s = 1$), we see that for decreasing v_{Az} the lower (fast magnetosonic) branch becomes essentially flat and turns into what is called an inertial mode with $-\sigma^2 = \Omega$. The slow magnetosonic branch also becomes essentially flat, but with zero frequency.

Requiring the determinant to vanish yields the dispersion relation as

$$\sigma^6 + \sigma^4 [\omega_c^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2 + 2\omega_{Az}^2 + 2\Omega(S + 2\Omega)] \tag{6}$$

$$+\sigma^2 \left[2\Omega(S+2\Omega)(\omega_c^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2) + \omega_{Az}^2(2\omega_c^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2 + \omega_{Az}^2 + 2\Omega S) \right]$$
(7)

$$+\omega_{\rm c}^2\omega_{\rm Az}^2(\omega_{\rm Az}^2+2\Omega S)=0\tag{8}$$

- (b) We already know that for $v_{Ay} = 0$, the soundwaves decouple. In that case the full MRI, as discussed in Handout 2, is recovered. In Fig. 1 we see the dispersion relation for different values of v_{A0} . This case is interesting, because the Alfvén and fast magnetosonic modes cross at a certain value of k.
- (c) For $v_{Ay} \neq 0$, however, soundwaves no longer decouple. An important limit is $\Omega = S = 0$, in which case we can write

$$\sigma^{6} + \sigma^{4}(\omega_{c}^{2} + \omega_{Ay}^{2} + 2\omega_{Az}^{2}) + \sigma^{2}\omega_{Az}^{2}(2\omega_{c}^{2} + \omega_{Ay}^{2} + \omega_{Az}^{2}) + \omega_{c}^{2}\omega_{Az}^{4} = 0.$$
(9)

Inspecting again Eq. (5), we see that the first and fifth row and colum collapse into an independent matrix whose determinant must vanish, i.e.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ -ik B_{0z} & \sigma \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$
(10)



Figure 2: MRI case (again with $\Omega = 1$, S = -3/2, and $c_s = 1$), but now with $v_{Az} = 0.7$ and 4 values of v_{Ay} . Note the appearance of *avoided crossings*.



Figure 3: Dependence on θ for $\Omega = S = 0$, $c_s = 1$, and three values of v_A .

so the dispersion relation reads

$$\left(\sigma^2 + \omega_{\mathrm{A}z}^2\right) \left[\sigma^4 + \sigma^2 (\omega_{\mathrm{c}}^2 + \omega_{\mathrm{A}y}^2 + \omega_{\mathrm{A}z}^2) + \omega_{\mathrm{A}z}^2 \omega_{\mathrm{c}}^2\right] = 0 \tag{11}$$

Note that the sound and fast magnetosonic branches *cross*; see Fig. 1. For finite values of v_{Ay} , these branches no longer cross. In such a case, one often talks about *avoided crossings*. The onset of MRI is not affected, however; see Fig. 2.

(d) We now consider the dependence on θ . Fig. 3 shows the three modes for $\Omega = S = 0$.



Figure 4: Similar to Fig. 3, but for $\Omega = 0.2$. Note that now the degeneracy between Alfvén and magnetosonic modes is lifted even for the fast magnetosonic modes at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and the slow magnetosonic modes at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$.



Figure 5: Similar to Fig. 3, but for $\Omega = 0.8$ (upper row) and $\Omega = 1$ (lower row). Note the dramatic changes between these two cases.

Note that the degeneracy between Alfvén and magnetosonic modes is lifted, except for the fast magnetosonic modes at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and the slow magnetosonic modes at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$. For finite values of vAy, the degeneracy between Alfvén and magnetosonic modes is lifted even for the fast magnetosonic modes at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and the slow magnetosonic modes at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$; see Fig. 4. As we increase the value of Ω , the θ dependence changes dramatically between all these case; see Fig. 5. Finally, for finite shear, we see the emergence of MRI for certain angles; see Fig. 6.

2. Compute numerically the solutions of the anharmonic oscillator

$$\ddot{x} = -\sin x \tag{12}$$



Figure 6: Similar to Fig. 5, but for $\Omega = 1$ and S = -0.5 (upper row) and S = -1.5 (lower row).

both as x(t) and $\dot{x}(t)$, but also, for a set of different initial conditions, as parametric plots, in the plane x(t) vs $\dot{x}(t)$.

.....

Let me show you here the very nice illustration by Michelle Maiden in Figs. 7 and 8.

3. Compute the eigenfrequencies of the Rayleigh-Benard problem with free-slip boundary conditions and negative values of Ra for parameters of your choice. Explain in words the physical difference between positive and negative values of Ra.

.....

See Fig. 9. For negative Ra, there are only oscillatory solutions that corresond to Brunt-Väsälä oscillations; see Fig. 9.



Figure 7:



Figure 8:



Figure 9: Similar to the plot in Handout 3.