## How can vorticity be produced in irrotationally forced flows?

## Fabio Del Sordo and Axel Brandenburg

NORDITA, Roslagstullsbacken 23, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden; and Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, SE 10691 Stockholm, Sweden


**Abstract.** A spherical hydrodynamical expansion flow can be described as the gradient of a potential. In that case no vorticity should be produced, but several additional mechanisms can drive its production. Here we analyze the effects of baroclinicity, rotation and shear in the case of a viscous fluid. Those flows resemble what happens in the interstellar medium. In fact in this astrophysical environment supernovae explosion are the dominant flows and, in a first approximation, they can be seen as spherical. One of the main difference is that in our numerical study we examine only weakly supersonic flows, while supernovae explosions are strongly supersonic.

Keywords. Galaxies: magnetic fields - ISM: bubbles

Turbulence in the interstellar medium (ISM) is mainly driven by supernovae explosions, which are among the most dramatic events in terms of release of energy. Those explosions are also very important because they can affect scales up to  $\sim 100$  pc. Moreover they are able to inject in the ISM enough energy to sustain turbulent flows with velocities of  $\sim 10$  km/s. It is well known that turbulence is one of the key ingredients to be taken in account when discussing many astrophysical process – especially in the production of magnetic fields. This is indeed one of our ultimate goals, even though here we do not take any magnetic field into account. As a first approximation, a supernova explosion can be regarded as a purely spherical expansion wave. Thus, we choose a setup consisting of purely potential forcing: we simulate spherical expansions, as already done by Mee & Brandenburg (2006). For our numerical experiments we use the PENCIL CODE, http://pencil-code.googlecode.com/. We have recently extended this work to include rotation, shear, and baroclinicity; see Del Sordo & Brandenburg (2010). Here we report on some highlights of their work.

We analyze flows that are only weakly supersonic and use a constant and uniform viscosity in an unstratified medium. In our model we solve the Navier-Stokes equations in the viscous case. We consider uniform viscosity in an unstratified medium. We force our system to be only weakly supersonic and we use a potential forcing  $\nabla \phi$  where  $\phi$  is given by randomly placed Gaussian of radius R around the position  $\mathbf{x}_{\rm f}(t)$ . We use two different forms for the time dependence of the forcing position  $\mathbf{x}_{\rm f}$ . In the first case we consider a  $\delta$ -correlated forcing, that is, every timestep has a  $\mathbf{x}_{\rm f}$  completely independent from the previous. Then we also study the situation in which the forcing remains constant during a time interval  $\delta t_{\rm force}$ .

Next, we add to the system one of three effects that we want to analyze, taking into account each of them separately. We start by considering the action of rotation under isothermal condition. Under the influence of rotation the system is subject to the action of the Coriolis force. That is, we add the term  $2\Omega \times u$  in the evolution equation of velocity. In our simulations we investigate flows with Reynolds numbers (based on the wavenumber of the energy-carrying eddies) of up to 150. The aim of this investigation is



**Figure 1.** Vertical component of vorticity on the periphery of the periodic domain for two values of the Coriolis number. Note that significant amounts of vorticity are only being produced when Co is of the order of unity.




Figure 2. Left: spectra of kinetic energy and enthalpy for two values of the Coriolis number for Re = 25 and St<sub>force</sub> = 0.4. The two straight lines give the slopes -2 and -3, respectively. Right: Enstrophy spectra,  $E_{\omega}(k)$ , compared with  $k^2 E_{\rm K}(k)$ , for Re = 25, St<sub>force</sub> = 0.4, and three values of the Coriolis number.

to quantify the production of vorticity. We find that vorticity is indeed produced with both kinds of forcing we have used for driving the spherical expansion. Nevertheless the case of  $\delta$ -correlated forcing seems to be more prone to spurious production of vorticity that we believe is due to numerical artifact.

We find that significant vorticity is only being produced when the Coriolis number,  $\text{Co} = 2\Omega/u_{\text{rms}}k_{\text{f}}$  is about unity; see Fig. 1 for Co = 0.15 and 1.35. For both cases we show in the left-hand panel the spectra of kinetic energy and enthalpy,  $E_{\text{K}}(k)$  and  $E_{\text{h}}(k)$ , respectively. There is no clear inertial range, but in all cases the energy spectra show a clear viscous dissipation range. There can easily be spurious vorticity generation, possibly still due to marginally sufficient resolution. The possibility of a spurious vorticity is indeed verified by the right-hand panel of Fig. 2, where we compare enstrophy spectrum decays like  $k^{-3}$ . However, for smaller values of Co the level of enstrophy at the mesh scale remains approximately unchanged and is thus responsible for the spurious vorticity found above for small values of Co and not too small values of Re. For larger values of Co, the production of vorticity is an obvious effect of rotation in an otherwise potential velocity field, and it is most pronounced at large length scales, as can also be seen in the right-hand panel of Fig. 2.

In the presence of shear, we find, in analogy with the case of rotation, production of vorticity proportional to the magnitude of the shear. However our results indicate that




Figure 3. Dependence of Ma and Re, as well as of the normalized vorticity,  $k_{\omega}/k_{\rm f}$ , on  $\phi_0$  for  $\nu/c_{\rm s}R = 1$ .

under the typical physical conditions in the interstellar medium in our Galaxy, neither rotation nor shear would be strong enough to produce significant amounts of vorticity (Del Sordo & Brandenburg (2010)).

Finally, we relax the isothermal condition to let the system evolve under the action of the baroclinic term. In this situation we have non-parallel gradients of pressure and density. The baroclinic term is proportional to the cross product of the two gradients, resulting from the curl of the term  $\rho^{-1}\nabla p$ . In Fig. 3 we show the dependence of various quantities on the forcing amplitude  $\phi_0$  normalized by the reference sound speed  $c_{\rm s0}$ . The Mach number saturates at about Ma = 3, and the rms value of the entropy gradient increases up until this point. The amount of vorticity production in terms of  $k_{\omega}/k_{\rm f}$  is about 0.3 for  $\phi_0/c_{\rm s0}^2 \gtrsim 20$ . For smaller values, on the other hand, there is an approximately linear increase with  $k_{\omega}/k_{\rm f} \approx 0.014\phi_0/c_{\rm s0}^2$ .

Given that in our Galaxy the Mach number of the turbulence is about unity (Beck *et al.* (1996)) it is clear that the baroclinic term is much more efficient in driving the production of vorticity. The fact that the highest amount of vorticity is observed when shock fronts encounter each other suggests that supersonic conditions need to be investigated more deeply.

Regarding dynamo action, as pointed out by Brandenburg & Del Sordo (2009), the presence of vorticity does not seem to affect the diffusion of magnetic fields differently than a complete irrotational turbulence. Nevertheless, vorticity plays an important role in dynamo processes, so it is important to address the problem of the generation of vorticity and the possible role of other effects. In future work we will address the connection between vorticity generation and the dynamo effect for magnetic fields.

## References

Beck, R., Brandenburg, A., Moss, D., Shukurov, A., & Sokoloff, D. ARA&A, 1996, 34, 155 Brandenburg, A. & Del Sordo, F. (in press) 2009 Turbulent diffusion and galactic magnetism

Highlights of Astronomy, Vol. **15** E. de Gouveia Dal Pino CUP, arXiv:0910.0072 Del Sordo, F. & Brandenburg, A. 2010, A & A, submitted, arXiv:1008.5281 Mee, A. J. & Brandenburg, A. *MNRAS*, 2006, 370, 415