

Magnetorotational Instability for inclined fields

1 Governing equations

In the presence of rotation and shear, the MHD equations for the departure from the shear flow \mathbf{u} , the magnetic field \mathbf{B} , and the density ρ becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + Sx \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial y} + u_x S \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} = -\rho^{-1} \nabla P + \rho^{-1} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + Sx \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial y} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} = B_x S \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{B} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + Sx \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = -\rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}. \quad (3)$$

Let us here, for simplicity, consider an isothermal equation of state, i.e., $P = \rho c_s^2$, where $c_s = \text{const}$. The equations can be readily linearized about $\mathbf{u} = 0$, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 = \text{const}$, and $\rho = \rho_0 = \text{const}$. For the following, we assume $\mathbf{B}_0 = (0, B_{0y}, B_{0z})$ and $\nabla = (0, 0, \partial_z)$. We assume that all perturbations are proportional to $e^{\sigma t + ikz}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -2\Omega & 0 & 0 & -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} & 0 \\ S + 2\Omega & \sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 & -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik \frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_{x1} \\ \hat{u}_{y1} \\ \hat{u}_{z1} \\ \hat{\rho}_1/\rho_0 \\ \hat{B}_{x1} \\ \hat{B}_{y1} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (4)$$

We can split the determinant into 3 parts, $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 = 0$ with

$$T_1 = \sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 & -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik \frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$T_2 = 2\Omega \det \begin{pmatrix} S + 2\Omega & 0 & 0 & 0 & -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik \frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$T_3 = -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \det \begin{pmatrix} S + 2\Omega & \sigma & 0 & 0 & -ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & ik \frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ 0 & 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The first part becomes

$$T_1 = \sigma^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik \frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \\ ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

The second part becomes

$$T_2 = 2\Omega(S+2\Omega) \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \\ ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} 2\Omega \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

and the third part is in turn split into 3 parts, $T_3 = T_{3a} + T_{3b} + T_{3c}$ with

$$T_{3a} = -ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} (S+2\Omega) \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$T_{3b} = \sigma ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$T_{3c} = -\left(k\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0}\right)^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 \\ 0 & 0 & ik & \sigma \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

1.1 T_1

Let us start with $T_1 = T_{1a} + T_{1b}$ so

$$T_{1a} = \sigma^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \\ ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$T_{1a} = \sigma^3 \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ikc_s^2 \sigma^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} ik & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ ikB_{0y} & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} ik & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

so

$$T_{1a} = \sigma^6 + k^2 c_s^2 \sigma^4 + k^2 \frac{B_{0y}^2}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma^4 = \sigma^6 + (\omega_c^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2) \sigma^4 \quad (15)$$

and for T_{1b} we have

$$T_{1b} = -ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

with

$$T_{1b} = ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} + k^2 \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma c_s^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ik & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

or

$$T_{1b} = k^2 \frac{B_{0z}^2}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma^4 + k^4 \frac{B_{0z}^2}{\mu_0\rho_0} \sigma^2 c_s^2 = \omega_{Az}^2 \sigma^2 (\sigma^2 + \omega_c^2) \quad (18)$$

So in summary

$$T_1 = \sigma^6 + \omega_s^2 \sigma^4 + \omega_{Ay}^2 \sigma^4 + \omega_{Az}^2 \sigma^4 + \omega_{Az}^2 \sigma^2 \omega_s^2 \quad (19)$$

1.2 T_2

Next, we work with $T_2 = T_{2a} + T_{2b}$ so

$$T_{2a} = 2\Omega(S + 2\Omega) \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 & ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \\ ik & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

so

$$T_{2a} = 2\Omega(S+2\Omega) \left[\sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ikc_s^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} ik & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ ikB_{0y} & -S & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \det \begin{pmatrix} ik & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (21)$$

and thus

$$T_{2a} = 2\Omega(S + 2\Omega) \left(\sigma^4 + k^2 c_s^2 \sigma^2 + k^2 \frac{B_{0y}^2}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \sigma^2 \right) \quad (22)$$

while

$$T_{2b} = -ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} 2\Omega \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & ikc_s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & ik & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

so

$$T_{2b} = -ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} 2\Omega \left[-\sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & 0 & -S \end{pmatrix} + ikc_s^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ik & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & -S \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (24)$$

and thus

$$T_{2b} = -ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} 2\Omega (+\sigma^2 S ikB_{0z} + k^2 c_s^2 S ikB_{0z}) \quad (25)$$

or

$$T_{2b} = k^2 \frac{B_{0z}^2}{\mu_0\rho_0} 2\Omega S (\sigma^2 + k^2 c_s^2) \quad (26)$$

So in summary.

$$T_2 = 2\Omega(S + 2\Omega) (\sigma^4 + \omega_s^2 \sigma^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2 \sigma^2) + 2\Omega S \omega_{Az}^2 (\sigma^2 + \omega_s^2) \quad (27)$$

1.3 T_3

And finally, we have

$$T_{3a} = -ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} (S + 2\Omega) \left[-\sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (28)$$

$$+ ikc_s^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ik & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ik & \sigma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & ikB_{0y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

but there are only zeros in the second row of all matrices, so

$$T_{3a} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Next,

$$T_{3b} = \sigma ik\frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \left[-\sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (31)$$

$$+ ikc_s^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ik & 0 \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & \sigma \end{pmatrix} - ik\frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0\rho_0} \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ik & \sigma \\ -ikB_{0z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ikB_{0y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

so

$$T_{3b} = \sigma ik \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \left[-\sigma^3 ik B_{0z} - ik c_s^2 \sigma k^2 B_{0z} - ik \frac{B_{0y}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \sigma k^2 B_{0z} B_{0y} \right] \quad (33)$$

or

$$T_{3b} = \sigma^2 k^2 \frac{B_{0z}^2}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \left[\sigma^2 + c_s^2 k^2 + \frac{B_{0y}^2}{\mu_0 \rho_0} k^2 \right] \quad (34)$$

And then

$$T_{3c} = - \left(k \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \right)^2 \left[\sigma \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ -ik B_{0z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ik B_{0z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - ik c_s^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ik \\ -ik B_{0z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -ik B_{0z} & ik B_{0y} \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (35)$$

or

$$T_{3c} = - \left(k \frac{B_{0z}}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \right)^2 [-\sigma^2 k^2 B_{0z}^2 - k^2 c_s^2 k^2 B_{0z}^2] \quad (36)$$

or

$$T_{3c} = \left(k^2 \frac{B_{0z}^2}{\mu_0 \rho_0} \right)^2 [\sigma^2 + k^2 c_s^2] \quad (37)$$

So in summary.

$$T_3 = \sigma^2 \omega_{Az}^2 (\sigma^2 + \omega_s^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2) + \omega_{Az}^4 (\sigma^2 + \omega_s^2) \quad (38)$$

1.4 $T_1 + T_2 + T_3$

$$T_1 = \sigma^6 + \omega_s^2 \sigma^4 + \omega_{Ay}^2 \sigma^4 + \omega_{Az}^2 \sigma^4 + \omega_{Az}^2 \sigma^2 \omega_s^2 \quad (39)$$

$$T_2 = 2\Omega(S + 2\Omega) (\sigma^4 + \omega_s^2 \sigma^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2 \sigma^2) + 2\Omega S \omega_{Az}^2 (\sigma^2 + \omega_s^2) \quad (40)$$

$$T_3 = \sigma^2 \omega_{Az}^2 (\sigma^2 + \omega_s^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2) + \omega_{Az}^4 (\sigma^2 + \omega_s^2) \quad (41)$$

So

$$T = \sigma^6 + \sigma^4 [\omega_s^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2 + 2\omega_{Az}^2 + 2\Omega(S + 2\Omega)] \quad (42)$$

$$+ \sigma^2 [2\Omega(S + 2\Omega)(\omega_s^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2) + \omega_{Az}^2 (2\omega_s^2 + \omega_{Ay}^2 + \omega_{Az}^2 + 2\Omega S)] \quad (43)$$

$$+ \omega_s^2 \omega_{Az}^2 (\omega_{Az}^2 + 2\Omega S) \quad (44)$$

References

Balbus, S. A., & Hawley, J. F., "A powerful local shear instability in weakly magnetized disks. I. Linear analysis," *Astrophys. J.* **376**, 214-222 (1991).

Velikhov, E. P., "Stability of an ideally conducting liquid flowing between cylinders rotating in a magnetic field," *Sov. Phys. JETP* **36**, 1398-1404 (1959). (Vol. 9, p. 995 in English translation)