BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

BioSystems



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biosystems

Insuperable problems of the genetic code initially emerging in an RNA world

Peter R. Wills^{a,b,*}, Charles W. Carter Jr.^{b,*}

^a Department of Physics, University of Auckland, PB 92109, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

^b Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 June 2017 Received in revised form 4 September 2017 Accepted 7 September 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase RNA World Autocatalysis GRT systems Quasi-species bifurcation

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

Differential equations for error-prone information transfer (template replication, transcription or translation) are developed in order to consider, within the theory of autocatalysis, the advent of coded protein synthesis. Variations of these equations furnish a basis for comparing the plausibility of contrasting scenarios for the emergence of specific tRNA aminoacylation, ultimately by enzymes, and the relationship of this process with the origin of the universal system of molecular biological information processing embodied in the Central Dogma. The hypothetical RNA World does not furnish an adequate basis for explaining how this system came into being, but principles of self-organisation that transcend Darwinian natural selection furnish an unexpectedly robust basis for a rapid, concerted transition to genetic coding from a peptide-RNA world.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The RNA World (Gilbert, 1986) is a widely-embraced hypothetical stage of molecular evolution, initially devoid of protein enzymes, in which all functional catalysts were ribozymes. Only one fact concerning the RNA World can be established by direct observation: if it ever existed, it ended without leaving any unambiguous trace of itself. Having left no such trace, the latest time of its demise can thus be situated in the period of emergence of the current universal system of genetic coding, a transformative innovation that provided an algorithmic procedure for reproducibly generating identical proteins from patterns in nucleic acid sequences. Today that system utilizes amino acyl-tRNA synthetase (aaRS) protein enzymes to attach amino acids to cognate tRNAs. However, the most extensively elaborated version of the RNA World (Koonin and Novozhilov, 2009; Koonin, 2011) is one in which the code was first operated by ribozymal aaRS, whose functions were progressively "taken over" by ancient aaRS enzymes, distant ancestors of the highly specific molecular species found in every contemporary living cell, mitochondria, chloroplasts and the replicative cycle of numerous viruses (Claverie and Abergel, 2010, 2016; Legendre et al., 2012).

A companion paper (Carter and Wills, 2017) outlines evidence tracing the origin of genetic coding to ancestral enzymic aaRS, and provides detailed justifications for the conclusion that such ancestry is inherently more probable, in addition to being vastly better documented, than any "takeover" by proteins of a preexisting translation system based on ribozymes in an "RNA Coding World" (RCW). Much of the argument presented here rests on new comparisons of differential equations describing the translation dynamics of ribozymal, protein, and hybrid assignment catalysis and a generalization of the coupling within mathematical models for gene-replicase-translatase (GRT) systems (Füchslin and McCaskill, 2001; Markowitz et al., 2006). These elements proved too extensive to be compatible with a single publication, and are therefore developed in detail in this paper.

It is instructive to examine the process whereby a complex chemical network—i.e. ribosomal protein synthesis on mRNA templates—may have been able to survive intact while effecting a practically complete transition from one catalytic polymer (RNA) to another (protein). By undertaking such an enquiry we hope to shed light on the extent to which the major molecular biological processes of inheritance and metabolism might have been established in an RNA World, which later succumbed to the superiority of protein catalysis.

1.1. Autocatalysis: an essence of biology

* Corresponding author at: Department of Physics, University of Auckland, PB 92109, Auckland 1142, New Zealand. *E-mail address*: p.wills@auckland.ac.nz (P.R. Wills).

E-mui dudress, p.winseddekiand.ac.nz (r.k. wins

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.09.006 0303-2647/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. The complete set of molecular components needed to build a cell must be synthesized by the reaction network in the cell, starting

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

with some basic food set available in the environment, with the second law of thermodynamics requiring that the food have higher free energy than the waste products that are ultimately exported back into the surroundings. The theory of the architecture of such "reflexively autocatalytic food sets" (RAF sets) has been studied in detail, starting with Kauffman (1986), and extensively elaborated in recent years by Hordijk, Steel and others [see Hordijk (2016) for a review].

The upshot of RAF theory is an impression that we should expect to find extensive, complex chemical reaction networks in nature simply as a result of the propensity of molecules, especially polymers built from a discrete set of monomers, to act as catalysts of specific chemical reactions. Indeed, cellular biochemistry seems to be rife with networked autocatalytic sets (Sousa et al., 2015). The facility with which chains of nucleotides can be copied with tolerable accuracy, along with their offering a range of catalytic (Breaker et al., 2003) and regulatory (Breaker, 2012) possibilities, lends plausibility to RNA as a substrate for RAF set formation in the prebiotic world. It is extremely hard to envisage how proteins, for which there is no known sequence copying mechanism, could form a sustainable autocatalytic network displaying any significant degree of specificity in the prebiotic world (Eigen, 1971a). Thus, life's need to have multiple catalytic functionalities integrated into a single catalytic network has seemed, at least superficially, much easier to achieve with ribozymes than with protein enzymes.

RAF theory provides a basic framework for describing the selforganization of reaction networks. However, it has had little to say about the actual evolution of either biological specificity or inheritance (Hordijk et al., 2014), with similarly limited analyses of the plausible evolution of catalytic sets based on two kinds of polymers (Smith et al., 2014). Notably, none of these treatments has incorporated notions of error-prone information transfer (template replication, transcription or translation), indicating that other concepts have to be brought to bear before the advent of coded protein synthesis can be encompassed in the theory of autocatalysis. Some aspects of this problem have been discussed previously (Wills, 2001, 2016), but not in relation to a possible transition from an extant RNA World. The companion paper (Carter and Wills, 2017) highlights the importance of articulating the mathematical implications of such a transition in order to reach a proper assessment of the relative plausibility of different scenarios.

On the other hand, the ideas of evolutionary coexistence and cooperation are beset by a raft of theoretical problems that frustrate attempts to explain the evolution of diverse, integrated, functional specificity in molecular genetic systems. These problems can be regarded as chemical versions of macroscopic, population dynamic problems (group selection, kin selection, multi-level selection, inclusive fitness, altruism, parasitism, etc.) that have led to ongoing disputes between competing schools of evolutionary thought. One thing the field of population genetics has taught molecular evolutionists is that population (read "chemical concentration") variation in spatial dimensions as well as the internal interactions comprising the selected system's structure can be as important in determining what survives as temporal variations at a specific location in space, the latter being subject to uncomplicated rules of selection dominated by mass-action and kinetic competition (Eigen, 1971a).

As Turing (1952) first showed in relational to molecular systems, elementary reaction-diffusion coupling can result in extraordinary spatio-temporal ordering, effects which rescue some intricately cooperative chemical systems from extinction. The most extensive work on this topic has been conducted by Hogeweg and colleagues, starting with studies of hypercycles (Boerlijst and Hogeweg, 1991, 1995) and culminating most recently in treatments of parasitism (Colizzi and Hogeweg, 2016a) and the evolutionary significance of high-cost cooperation (Colizzi and Hogeweg, 2016b). Related stud-

ies, of paramount significance for our current undertaking, is the work of Füchslin and McCaskill (2001) and Markowitz et al. (2006) on the evolution of cell-free genetic coding in gene-replicase-translatase (GRT) systems.

1.2. Intrinsic and extrinsic self-organisation

An important conceptual distinction implicit in the field of the dynamics of complex prebiotic systems is that between intrinsically and extrinsically driven self-organisation. Darwinian selection was established as a paradigm of molecular genetic selforganisation in the pioneering work of Eigen (1971a). Differential rates of synthesis and degradation of replicating polymer variants, parameters intrinsic to the internal dynamics of the polymer population, are sufficient to establish a phase transition in the population distribution, corresponding to the survival of the fittest as a result of natural selection, conditional upon the accuracy with which the polymers are copied exceeding a certain threshold. However, nucleic acids (RNA and DNA) are the only polymers that are synthesized through a read-and-copy mechanism and they do not usually compete for survival directly according to their differential rates of synthesis and degradation. Rather, their survival is determined by the fitness of a much more complex replicating unit, a cell for example. A nucleic acid gene is retained if it somehow contributes to, or at least does not diminish, the fitness of the phenotype of the cells in which it is found. Under those circumstances self-organisation within the overall population of nucleic acid polymers depends on factors extrinsic to the process of polymer replication itself. Blind selection cannot read phenotypic properties and copy them back into genetic messages. More generally, extrinsically driven self-organisation is observed when the feedback leading to more complex individual systems (Hogeweg and Takeuchi, 2003) is externally imposed, and realized only because their internal structure happens to endow the units of reproduction, which are typically encapsulated (Szathmáry and Demeter, 1987) with a selective advantage under prevailing environmental conditions (availability of food, absence of parasites, etc.). The operational evolutionary "level of selection" (Keller, 1999; Okasha, 2006) is higher than that of any individual components. Kun et al. (2015) describe in detail the role that extrinsically driven self-organisation necessarily plays in RNA World scenarios, and Takeuchi et al. (2017) show how it can give rise to a separation between information-carrying genes and functional catalysts.

In contrast, intrinsically driven self-organisation works by amplifying some internal processes at the expense of others interactions between components within the system feed back on one another to drive the system to higher complexity. Typically, the intrinsic drive to self-organisation arises out of some instability in the internal dynamics of the system: some cyclical process is tipped into a self-amplifying mode, which does not become fully damped until the system reaches a new dynamic attractor, usually a state of higher dissipation and lower entropy (Prigogine and Nicolis, 1971). The self-organised state is intrinsically stable because any incremental deviation from it temporarily produces a corresponding drive to restore it. The paradigm of intrinsically driven self-organisation of molecular phenotypes rather than genotypes is the emergence of coding in the presence of reflexive information, i.e., genes that encode a coding set of aaRS assignment catalysts (Bedian, 1982; Wills, 1993). The companion paper (Carter and Wills, 2017) expands our understanding of reflexivity by demonstrating how the physical chemistry of amino acids drives protein folding to produce structural elements for the selective recognition of (i) amino acids according to their physical properties; and (ii) tRNAs depending on the presence of corresponding sequence motifs, first in the acceptor stem and later in the anticodon loop. A genetic code operated by enzymes is differ-

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

Table 1 Glossary of terms.

Codons	X(l)	$\in \{\text{A, B} \dots \text{Z}\} \text{or} \{\text{A, B, } X \dots \}$
Amino acid alphabets	y(<i>m</i>)	\in {a, b z} or { α , β , χ }
Alphabet size	п	
Polymer length (genes or codons)	L	[sequence space of size n ^L]
Sequence position	α	range 1,2 <i>L</i>
RNA (gene)	G(i)	population variable <i>G_i</i>
Protein	P(j)	population variable <i>P_j</i>
Translation probability matrix	J	$[n \times n \text{ elements } \mathfrak{I}_{lm}]$
Rate of protein production	k_P	[per template molecule]
Translatase (e.g., protein aaRS)	T(k)	population variable <i>T_k</i>
Rate of assignment catalysis	$a_{lm}(j)$	[assignment $l \rightarrow m$ by T(k)]
Rate of $l \rightarrow m$ assignment in system	C_{lm}	
Assignment accuracy	q	
Assignment error probability	ε	= 1 - q
Hamming distance	h	
Rate of polymer loss	ϕ_P, ϕ_G	[protein P; RNA ("genes") G]
Rate of synthesis of $G(i)$ from $G(t)$	W_{it}	[G(t) serves as a template]
Ribozymal ^{Ri} or protein ^{Pr} replicase	R(a)	population variable R _a
and one-error mutant thereof	R(b)	
Replicase activity of ribozyme R(a)	r_a	[equivalently of enzyme]
Catalytic contribution $P(j)$ to W_{it}	$w_{it}(j)$	[per molecule of $P(j)$]
Codon-copying accuracy of P(j)	q_i	[error rate $\varepsilon_i = 1 - q_i$]
Translatase ribozyme ^{Ri} or enzyme ^{Pr}	$\tilde{T}(r)$	population variable Tr
and one-error mutant thereof	T(s)	[indices u, v for proteins]
Rate of replicative RNA production	ρ	[per template molecule]
Rate of mutational RNA production	μ	[per template molecule]
Mutant functionality degradation factor	ξ	[catalysis or accuracy]
Probability of code-rule assignment	р	[p ^o for stationary value]
Protein fraction of translatase activity	f	[f ^o for stationary value]

entiated decisively from one operated by ribozymes in terms of the distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic modalities of emergent complexity.

1.3. Outline

We begin our exposition by isolating the dynamics of codonto-amino acid assignments from all other factors that influence the process of information transfer from the nucleotide sequences of (messenger) RNAs to the amino acid sequences of proteins. We do not investigate the precise details of how the process of collinear sequence information transfer arose, but elsewhere (Carter and Wills, 2017) we suggest it was virtually simultaneous with and enabled the development of ribosomes and control of metabolism. Here we are concerned with how coding assignments can be embedded in the chemical dynamics of a RAF system, implemented either by ribozymes or enzymes. It is quite impossible to recreate the entire path along which the very complex process of translation evolved, but it is possible to lay out certain theoretical problems that come up in trying to describe any such path, and the principles of their solution. Our approach is to extend earlier mathematical models of coding self-organization (Bedian, 1982, 2001; Wills, 1993, 1994, 2004; Füchslin and McCaskill, 2001; Markowitz et al., 2006) that outlined the evolution and dynamic stability of a protein-operated genetic code, presuming the existence of the extremely particular reflexive information, which expresses, according to the rules of a specified code, the specific proteins that operate that code. These studies include discrete "individualbased" simulations that validate the analytical models, conclusively demonstrating the reality of the phenomena described by relevant differential equations.

Our differential equations describe the self-organised production of protein and RNA biopolymers by RNA and protein biocatalysts. A glossary of the nomenclature used to specify the parameters and variables of the model is provided in Table 1.

• We show that under any plausible assumptions translation errors would inevitably be more frequent for any hybrid coding situa-

tion driven by both ribozymal and protein aaRS than they would be for a homogeneous system with only the initially established type of aaRS.

- A parallel problem limits the introduction of protein polymerases: as long as the accuracy of a nascent protein replicase was significantly less than that of an extant ribozymal replicase, which is to be expected for the first proteins showing replicase activity to emerge in an RCW, the probability of correct copying will be diminished for all RNA species, critically for the ribozymal replicase itself.
- Whatever selective advantage coded proteins confer on a system incorporating a purely ribozymally operated system of translation and replication, the inception of less accurate protein aaRS or replicase would diminish that advantage, favouring variant systems that lack both sorts of the protein catalysts as well as the energetic load involved in maintaining the genes that encode them.
- Coding cannot be bootstrapped in the RCW because the dynamics of the RNA activity—replication of genetic information and catalysis of coding assignments—is completely autonomous. Coding self-organisation based on feedback-constrained bootstrapping accelerated the exploration of sequence space and directed the search toward an optimal code.

2. Model of translation dynamics

We consider a simplified model of protein synthesis that focuses on its information transmission aspects: translating codons at particular positions in genetic sequences into appropriate amino acids at particular positions in protein sequences. We pay particular attention to the fact that translation assignments are inherently probabilistic and are thus associated with error rates. We likewise emphasise the fact that all known aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (aaRS) enzymes are themselves proteins that are produced by errorprone translation of genetic sequences. We consider for simplicity a non-redundant code with one codon per amino acid. Translation assignments depend on the rates at which aaRS species, whether enzymes or hypothetical ribozymes, charge tRNAs "correctly" or "erroneously" in an inherently probabilistic fashion. Contemporary molecular biological systems approximate a true code: aaRS enzymes attach specific cognate amino acids to tRNAs bearing anticodons complementary to specific corresponding codons, with very infrequent errors.

A "code" could not be instantiated in a primordial molecular biological system until there was a reasonably stable system for the maintenance of an injective mapping from an extant genetic sequence G(i) to the corresponding sequence P(j) of a peptide being synthesized (Wills, 2016). Molecular biology is dominated by a simple collinear mapping from the sequence of a messenger RNA to the sequence of the corresponding encoded protein, but exceptional ribosomal "recoding" events like "programmed frameshifting" play crucial roles in some situations and all manner of RNA editing can occur between the transcription of DNA into RNA and the production of the mature mRNA that is finally translated. Recent analyses of ribosomal phylogeny (Petrov and Williams, 2015; Root-Bernstein and Root-Bernstein, 2015) suggest that the predominant mRNA-protein collinearity may well have emerged in a single selforganizing transition simultaneously with the most primitive form of coding, entrenching a process that may well have depended on the proto-ribosome's "intrinsic dynamics" (Eisenmesser et al., 2005). We consider in §4 a more general statement of such requirements for simultaneity in the emergence of coding.

In order to remain tractable, we ignore complications—coding redundancy; initiation and termination; variable translation rates; ribosomal stalling at rare codons or when the tRNA bearing the

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

complementary anticodon is depleted; energetics of peptide chain elongation and ribosomal translocation, etc.-that cause special effects in real molecular biological systems. We will consider only what happens when genetic sequences are translated in an implicitly synchronised step-by-step process so that proteins are synthesized at the same rate from all genetic sequences of the same length and the ribosome performs as a mechanical clockworkratchet device. This "clockwork ribosome" model offers assurance that effects we find will be due solely to the operation and stability of the translation table defining rates at which codon-to-amino acid assignments are made, whether or not they are regarded as "correct" or "erroneous". Should it turn out that the origin of coding depends on specific features of translation and its dynamics, such as the relative sizes of different components involved in the process, then such complications will signify the need to improve the simplified model in order for it to demonstrate the relevant phenomena. Likewise, in the interests of analytic tractability we choose to use a representation of the dynamics in terms of differential equations, rather than a discrete temporal map.

2.1. Translation table

We define alphabets of codons {A, B ... Z} and amino acids {a, b ... z}, both of size *n*. The universal genetic code has a (sense) codon alphabet of size 61 and an amino acid alphabet of size 20, but we will start by considering alphabets of equal sizes, mostly with small values of *n*, especially a minimal binary code (n = 2). We will eventually consider the circumstances under which the number *n* of distinguishable codons and amino acids can increase or decrease.

Probabilities, \mathfrak{I}_{lm} , with which codon X(*l*) is translated as amino acid y(m) specify an $n \times n$ translation table, \mathfrak{I} . The indices *l* (for members of the codon alphabet) and *m* (for members of the amino acid alphabet) both run over the range 1, 2...n. A gene G will be considered to be a sequence of *L* codons $X_1X_2X_3...X_L$, a single point in the genetic sequence space of all n^L possible genes. Translation of G produces a protein, P, whose amino acid sequence $y_1y_2y_3...y_L$ is collinear with the codon sequence of G. Codon-toamino acid assignments and translation acquire meaning only in the context of a reasonably stable collinearity between an extant genetic sequence G(i) and the sequence P(j) of any peptide being synthesized (Wills, 2016). Because translation is a stochastic process, repetitive translation of G produces a population distribution of proteins with different sequences. Note that the indices *i*, *j* and *k* are used to label points in the sequence space of genes or proteins.

2.2. Coding assignment catalysts

We assume that a ribosome produces proteins from a single genetic template at a rate k_P , so that each codon on an mRNA template is translated at the same, "clockwork" rate k_P/L . However, the rate at which any particular assignment is made depends on the relative concentrations (or population numbers) of the charged tRNAs and these depend in turn on activities a_{lm} of all of relevant aaRS species and all amino acids present in the system. We will call the aaRS catalysts "translatases" T, whether they are ribozymes or enzymes. The rates C_{lm} at which codon X(*l*) to amino acid y(*m*) assignments are made can be found by summing over contributions $a_{lm}(k)$ from all possible translatase species T(k) with individual populations T_k , spanning the RNA or protein sequence space:

$$C_{lm} = \sum_{k} a_{lm}(k) T_k \tag{1}$$

Many individual species will not be present, $T_k = 0$, and many others will not display activity for a particular assignment, $a_{lm}(k) = 0$. The translation table \Im lists the probabilities \Im_{lm} of codons

X(l) being assigned to amino acids y(m) assignments and can be found by normalising the rates C_{lm} by factors that represent the total catalytic capacity of the system for assignment of codon X(l)to *all* amino acids:

$$J_{lm} = C_{lm} / \sum_{m'} C_{lm'}$$
⁽²⁾

It should be noted that all of these quantities, notably the C_{lm} , are formally functions of time, because the population variables T_k are. In other words, the translation table can vary, even increase its effective dimension, on account of variations in the population of ribozymes or proteins that catalyse assignment reactions.

If each possible codon X(*l*) is translated as a corresponding, unique amino acid y(*m*), we can assign an arbitrary order for the codon indices *l* and then give each codon's cognate amino acid the same index m = l. A population of assignment catalysts T(*k*) that executes a code in this manner consists only of ribozymes or enzymes with the property $a_{lm} = a \neq 0$ for l = m and 0 otherwise. Then, the table of translation probabilities is the identity matrix, $\Im = I$:

$$\mathfrak{I}_{lm} = \begin{cases} 1 & m = l \\ 0 & m \neq l \end{cases}$$
(3)

A much more realistic scenario is an imperfect coding system in which assignment errors occur with an overall uniform probability ε and the translation table has the form

$$\Im_{lm} = \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon & m = l \\ \varepsilon/(n-1) & m \neq l \end{cases}$$
(4)

"Completely non-coding" systems make random assignments of amino acids to codons and have a translation table of the form $\Im = (1/n)\mathbf{J}$, where \mathbf{J} is the $n \times n$ all-ones matrix, so that

$$\Im_{lm} = 1/n \forall l, m \tag{5}$$

2.3. Code expansion occurs by phase transitions that decrease entropy in sequence space

Coding self-organization and code expansion can occur in systems whose translation dynamics have particular instabilities, across which a transition can occur from one attractor state to another in which the translation rate matrix has higher effective dimension, n_{eff} . Such transitions increase coding capacity, hence the precision with which any set of genetic messages is translated, thereby facilitating eventual reductions in the sequence space occupation-and entropy-of the corresponding ribozome or proteome. As an example, in the non-coding reference system all n codons are indistinguishable, so the effective number of codons is n_{eff} = 1. In these terms, transition from a noncoding dynamic state to the operation of a binary code (n=2) is a special case of singlestep code expansion: the effective dimension of \Im increases by one. Delarue (2007) discusses code expansion broadly, in terms consistent with an initial binary differentiation of amino acids according to their attachment to cognate tRNAs by separate Class I and II aaRS enzymes.

Code expansion transitions have been described and demonstrated in several computational models whose dynamics are contingent upon protein-based aaRS translation, with a variety of rules specifying how the catalytic capabilities $a_{lm}(j)$ of a protein P(j) depend on its amino acid sequence (and implicit folding). The first study (Wills, 1993) concerned systems whose genetic information was fixed. Three different "embeddings" for the association of a_{lm} values with points *j* in the protein sequence space were considered: (I) random; (II) constant activity *a* within a fixed Hamming distance radius h_0 around n^2 randomly chosen centres, one for each possible codon-to-amino acid $(l \rightarrow m)$ assignment; and (III) also with randomly chosen centres of $l \rightarrow m$ assignment activity,

-3776; No. of Pages 12

but with *a* defined as a narrow Gaussian function $\exp(-h^2/h_0^2)$, rapidly decreasing beyond Hamming distance h_0 from the center, but non-zero even at the furthest extremity of sequence space. System dynamics in each case were demonstrated to have non-coding and coding attractors separated by an instability. In all cases the genetic information supplied to the simulated system was constructed so that it was reflexive for a predetermined code.

Reflexivity means that when the genetic information is translated according to the rules of some code the protein products' joint activities constitute a translation table for that specific code, as in Eqs. (3) or (4). In relation to case (III) above, it was further demonstrated (Wills, 1994) that progressive loss of strict reflexivity due to mutation of the genetic information leads to a progressive increase in the coding error rate ε . And a separate study Wills, (2004) investigated systems in which nested instabilities allowed for a series of code-expanding transitions to attractor states with progressively larger values of n_{eff} . That study focused on a further case, (IV): as a result of spontaneous changes in the translatase populations (transitions described as "quasi-species bifurcations" in the companion paper: Carter and Wills, 2017), each letter of binary alphabets of codons {A, B} and amino acids {a,b} can bifurcate into two versions to produce four-member codon and amino acid alphabets, {A, B, X, Δ } and { α , β , χ , δ }, increasing the coding capacity from 1 bit to 2 bits, and expanding the 2×2 translation table into a 4×4 table. The hierarchically nested embedding of assignment activities (in protein sequence space) used for that simulation geometrically mirrored the decomposition of the alphabets. Simulations of the dynamic system demonstrated stepwise coding self-organization, first from a non-coding state to the execution of a binary code $\{A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b\}$ and then from the binary code to the expanded four-dimensional code { $A \rightarrow \alpha$, $B \rightarrow \beta$, $X \rightarrow \chi$, $\Delta \rightarrow \delta$ }.

2.4. Differential equations for translation dynamics

The rate of change in the population (or concentration) P_j of a protein with the particular sequence $P(j) = y_1 y_2 y_3 \dots y_L$ as a result of being synthesized through translation of just one molecule ($G_t = 1$; $G_{t' \neq t} = 0$) of a single stranded nucleic acid template with sequence $G(t) = X_1 X_2 X_3 \dots X_L$, is given by

$$dP_j/dt = k_P \prod_{\alpha=1}^{L} \Im_{l(\alpha)m(\alpha)} - \phi_P P_j$$
(6)

where $l(\alpha)$ is the identity of X_{α} , the specific codon that occurs at position α in the gene G(t) and $m(\alpha)$, the identity of y_{α} , the specific amino acid that occurs at position α in the protein P(j). In the last term ϕ_P is the overall rate of loss of P(j) due to degradation and movement out of the system, considered constant across all species. For a perfectly operating code, the net rate of production of every coded protein is simply $k_P - \phi_P P_j$ per encoding gene but as noted above, this situation exists only when the aaRS catalysts for the code have perfect specificity and none of the genes encodes a catalyst for an assignment function not in the coding set.

In the case of an imperfectly operating code we must sum the effects over all gene templates G(t) present in the system because, with errors, there is a non-zero probability, no matter how small, of any protein resulting from the translation of any gene:

$$dP_j/dt = k_P \sum_{t=1}^{n^L} G_t \prod_{\alpha=1}^{L} \mathfrak{I}_{l(\alpha)m(\alpha)} - \phi_P P_j$$
(7)

Strictly speaking, the translation matrix \Im is dynamic, but in what follows we will assume that the production of single protein molecules occurs on a timescale much faster than that over which the population distribution of sequences changes significantly.

Substitution into Eq. (7) of the expression for \Im_{lm} from Eqs. (1) and (2) yields a system of nonlinear differential equations for protein production, which is the basis of coding self-organisation in an evolving "protein coding world" (PCW): in the presence of a fixed set of genes displaying a significant degree of reflexivity, the aaRS assignment catalysts that the genes (at least partially) encode embody an autocatalytic set whose population is self-amplifying beyond the threshold of stability—stochastically accessible by local fluctuations in \Im_{lm} —separating basins of attraction of non-coding and coding dynamic states (Wills, 1993, 1994). In any case, the solution of Eq. (7) corresponding to the coding state describes the maintenance of the suite of proteinaceous aaRS assignment catalysts {T^{Pr}} that operate the genetic code in living cells.

2.5. Coupling replication to translation: the need to copy information

Any realistic representation of molecular turnover under prebiotic conditions must take into account the error-prone replication of genetic information and its accumulation and preservation as an outcome of natural selection. Thus, Eq. (7) must be supplemented by a similar system of equations describing the net rates of increase of gene sequences.

When genes are replicated, their population dynamics may be described by the quasispecies equation (Eigen 1971a), which is in many respects similar to (7):

$$dG_i/dt = \sum_{t=1}^{n^L} W_{it}G_t - \phi_G G_i$$
(8)

The coefficient W_{it} is the rate at which G(i) is synthesized when G(t) serves as a template for the replication of a nucleic acid molecule of length *L*. When nucleic acid replication is accomplished by a process that produces new sequences from each template at a rate *r* with codon-copying accuracy of *q* (or error probability $\varepsilon = 1 - q$) the coefficients W_{it} can be specified in terms of Hamming distance h_{it} , measured in terms of codon differences, between G(i)and the template G(t). Assuming random errors in codon copying we have

$$W_{it} = \frac{rq^{L-h_{it}}(1-q)^{h_{it}}}{C_{h_{it}}^{L}(n-1)^{h_{it}}}$$
(9)

which is a modified form of Eq. (27) of (Eigen et al., 1988). Eq. (8), like Eq. (7), represents a nonlinear system of differential equations, in this case describing Darwinian competition between sequences. The steady state solution of Eq. (8) circumscribes a population of polymer sequences comprising a quasispecies, a population distribution comprising a "cloud" in sequence space centered on a consensus sequence defined by its relatively optimal functionality. Whether or not that centroid sequence is the dominant sequence, depends on the magnitude of the error rate ε . Above a certain threshold in ε the steady state population degenerates to a uniform distribution across the entire polymer sequence space. That threshold has been called 'Eigen's Cliff' (Koonin, 2011). It is a form of 'error catastrophe' considered in a wider range of circumstances (Orgel, 1963).

If nucleic acid copying is accomplished by a replicase, then in an RNA World any such catalysts must themselves of necessity be nucleic acids. Let us designate a subset of nucleic acid sequences R(a) that can act as general replicases, such as are being investigated experimentally with increasing success (Horning and Joyce 2016). Eq. (9) is now rewritten in terms of specific replicase activities r_a and codon-copying accuracies q_a which define rate coefficients

5

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

 $w_{it}(a)$ appropriate to each replicase R(a), template G_t and RNA gene product G_i . The coefficients in Eq. (8) then become

$$W_{it} = \sum_{a=1}^{n^{-}} w_{it}(a) R_a$$
(10)

Substitution of Eq. (10) into (8) gives

$$dG_i/dt = \sum_{t=1}^{n^L} \sum_{a=1}^{n^L} w_{it}(a) R_a G_t - \phi_G G_i$$
(11)

which is the standard "replicator equation" affording the most general description of the basic dynamics of an autocatalytic network of ribozymes. It says that any RNA molecule of length n codon units can serve as a template G(t) for the production of any other RNA molecule G(i), depending on the mutation rate characteristic of each ribozymal replicase R(a) that replicates the template in an error-prone fashion.

3. Evolutionary dynamics of ribozyme-dominated systems

Takeuchi and Hogeweg (2012) provide an excellent analysis of the evolutionary dynamics of systems of "replicator equations" like Eq. (11), including hypercyclic interdependence (Eigen 1971a; Eigen and Schuster, 1979) as well as mechanisms of cooperation that must operate to overcome the effects of Darwinian competition in molecular systems, especially in an RNA World. It is first necessary to take into account the discrete character of population variables such as G_i , which are treated as continuous variables in ordinary differential equations. The differential equation approach fails to represent important discontinuous phenomena like extinctions, especially in small, localized populations. With that proviso their conclusions are salutary:

- i Even with artificially imposed hypercyclic feedback, global maintenance of mutually dependent molecular species cannot be expected. Non-functional "parasites" are unavoidably replicated in the system and exacerbate this problem, as they contribute insufficiently to replication to compensate for the necessary energetic investment.
- ii Parasitism can be overcome by spatial differentiation to create systems of interdependent replicators and a higher level at which Darwinian selection operates, the system level rather than that of individual molecules. When the individual population variables G_i depend on spatial variables (x, y, z) on a scale comparable to distances that molecules traverse during single molecular "birth-death" processes, systems of cooperating replicators can survive as spatially self-organized, mesoscopic waves, with various geometries (Turing, 1952), that continually "purify" themselves of parasites.
- iii Parasites nevertheless furnish mutational bridges for novel replicator species to enter into the system.
- iv If molecular reactions are spatially compartmentalized, higher level competition determines selection explicitly for survival between replicating compartments rather than individual molecular species. Compartmentalization can thus both solve the problem of parasites and provide for the "division of labour" between templates and catalysts, and the emergent separation of these roles into different polymer types. This aspect of RNA World autocatalysis is explored in depth by Takeuchi et al. (2017).

3.1. Proteins in an RNA world

It is generally assumed that the indirect effect of improving metabolic catalysis achieved by the introduction of enzymes into an RNA World in which everything was previously accomplished by ribozymes was sufficient to give the requisite advantage to proteinencoding genes in the competition for survival among competing RNAs. The manner in which proteins, produced according to Eq. (7), could have such an influence in an RNA World is represented by the constants $w_{it}(a)$ in Eq. (11), the rate at which a replicase R(a) produces the RNA species G(i) using G(t) as a template. That is to say, the proteins must exert their effect by influencing the outcome of RNA replication events in which they serve no necessary role. For the time being we will set aside the evident problem of how protein parasites could self-organise to coerce an RNA world into inventing a system for their coded synthesis, including the accumulation and preservation of a body of RNA information in which they were encoded, solely through their effect on the $w_{it}(a)$ parameters.

In order for an RNA Coding World (RCW) to exist, prior to the evolution of proteinaceous aaRS enzymes, various individual RNA species within the surviving population must serve the roles of aaRS assignment catalysts ("translatases") and genetic templates for the production of proteins. Substitution of Eqs. (2) into (7) gives

$$dP_{j}/dt = k_{P} \sum_{t=1}^{n^{L}} G_{t} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{L} \left[\sum_{r=1}^{n^{L}} a_{l(\alpha)m(\alpha)}(r) T_{r} / \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n^{L}} a_{l(\alpha)m}(r) T_{r} \right] - \phi_{P} P_{j}$$
(12)

assuming that a suite of ribozymal translatases T(r) specifically attach amino acids *m* to tRNA-like adaptors bearing anticodons to *l* at characteristic rates $a_{lm}(r)$. The subscripts $l(\alpha)$ and $m(\alpha)$ have the same meanings as in Eqs. (6) and (7). However, the full system of dynamic equations (Eq. (12)) describing this scenario is intractable without additional assumptions. Therefore, let us adopt a heuristic approach similar to that of Takeuchi and Hogeweg (2012) and consider a much simplified case.

Suppose a population of ribozymal translatases makes "correct" codon-to-amino acid assignments with overall probability $p = 1 - \varepsilon$, according to the rules of a chosen code. Then, the translation matrix for the system will be of the form

$$I_{lm} = \begin{cases} p & l = m \\ (1-p)/(n-1) & l \neq m \end{cases}$$
(13)

which is the same as Eq. (4). Neglecting genetic mutants, the rate of production of any protein P(j), given the presence of a gene G(j) encoding it will then be given by a much reduced form of Eq. (12):

$$dP_j/dt = k_P G_j p^L - \phi_P P_j \tag{14}$$

The convex surface defined by Eq. (14) has a stationary solution at $P_j = G_j k_P p^L / \phi_P$ that is stable, showing that the production of proteins in an RCW depends on the system's ability to maintain, in addition to functional ribosome-like machinery, not only the genes encoding the proteins but also accurate ribozymal aaRS catalysts. The translatase T(r) and the protein encoding genes G(j) must, through some trick of self-organisation, overcome their role as parasites in a system of replicators that has to compete with *all* species that it replicates.

Survival evidently requires the operation of some mechanism of self-organization that selectively keeps local populations of the parasitic species low enough for the replicators to sequester adequate resources for their own reproduction (Takeuchi and Hogeweg, 2012).

3.2. Ribozymal replicases (replicators)

Consider a simple RCW: a perfect ribozymal replicase R(a) that makes no copying errors maintains a population of ribozymal translatases T(r) that form a coding set, providing for the synthesis of proteins that are encoded in genes G(i), "genetic information" that

Please cite this article in press as: Wills, P.R., Carter Jr., C.W., Insuperable problems of the genetic code initially emerging in an RNA world. BioSystems (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.09.006

6

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

has been selected on account of the advantage conferred on the system by the proteins' contribution to its functioning and maintenance. Eqs. (8) &(11) for the time evolution of the ribozymes reduce to

$$dR_a/dt = (r_a R_a - \phi_G) R_a$$

$$dT_r/dt = (r_a R_a - \phi_G) T_r$$
(15)

where r_a is the rate at which a single replicase molecule copies a single copy of a single gene. The only significant dynamic fixed point of the system exists at $R_a = \phi_G / r_a$ whose stability depends on how the loss rate ϕ_G is constrained. Thus, the replicase R(*a*) completely determines the system dynamics, including the survival or extinction of other RNA species, like the ribozymal translatases T(r). While the replicase population R_a may be self-regulating, the translatase population T_r will be subject to stochastic drift, leading eventually to extinction due to the usual finite population size effects (Küppers, 1983). However, the same argument applies to any finite population of perfect replicators, even if there is more than one such, $G(a_i: i = 1, 2, ...)$, so even R(a) would meet the same fate, unless there were some mechanism of self-organization that allowed the system to gain advantage from cooperation between the different polymer types (Hickinbotham and Hogeweg, 2016). We continue under the heuristic assumption that the system includes some such mechanism.

If we suppose that an optimal replicase R(a) copies RNA strings with an accuracy of $q_a = (1 - \varepsilon_a)$ per codon, then it is reasonable to assume that the replicase functionality of one error mutants R(b) is reduced to a fraction ξ_a of that of R(a). For the purposes of modeling we can define nominal parameters for both the catalytic capability and accuracy of one error mutants and for the sake of economy assign them relative values of $r_b = \xi_a r_a$ and $q_b = \xi_a q_a$. In that case the time evolution of the replicase ribozymes is given by

$$dR_a/dt = R_a\rho + R_b\mu / \left\{ L(n-1) \right\} - \phi_G R_a$$

$$dR_b/dt = R_a\mu + R_b\rho - \phi_G R_b$$
(16)

where the rates of replicative and mutational production, ρ and μ , respectively, are given by

$$\rho = r_a \left[R_a + \xi_a^{L+1} R_b \right] q_a^L$$

$$\mu = r_a \left[\varepsilon_a R_a + \varepsilon_b \xi_a^L R_b \right] q_a^{L-1}$$
(17)

and we have taken account of single error events, including back-mutation from R(b) to R(a), but neglected all multiple-error replication processes and their effects. These equations have a fixed point $(dR_a/dt = dR_b/dt = 0)$ at $R_b/R_a = \sqrt{L(n-1)} = \mu/(\phi_G - \rho)$. The overall probability of correctly copying codons (X) is given by

$$p_X = q_a \left(R_a + \xi_a^2 R_b \right) / \left(R_a + \xi_a R_b \right) \tag{18}$$

For either $\xi_a = 1$ [R(b) identical to R(a)] or $\xi_a = 0$ [R(b) inactive], $p_X = q_a$ as expected; otherwise the minimum stationary value of p_X occurs according to the condition $\sqrt{1 + (R_a/R_b)} - (R_a/R_b) = \xi_a$.

3.3. Ribozymal translatases

Similarly, the rates of production of a ribozymal translatase T(r) and its one error variants T(s) in an RCW are given by Eq. (16) with T_r replacing R_a and T_s replacing R_b and ρ and μ still defined in Eq. (17) in terms of R_a and R_b . Unsurprisingly a translatase population ratio of $T_s/T_r = \sqrt{L(n-1)}$ is stationary. The same conclusion applies to the population ratio for any gene relative to that of its one-error mutants when they are maintained by replicases R(a) and R(b). This applies to genetic templates G(t) that continue to survive in an RCW on account of the contributions that their encoded proteins make to the viability of the selected unit of which they are a part.

If the ribozymal translatases T(r) and T(s) catalyse codon-toamino acid assignments $(X \rightarrow y)$ with characteristic rates a_r and $a_s = \xi_r a_r$ and accuracies $q_r = (1 - \varepsilon_r)$ and $q_s = \xi_r q_r = (1 - \varepsilon_s)$, then the translation table is of the form

$$\Im_{lm} = \frac{1}{\left(T_r + \xi_r T_s\right)} \begin{cases} q_r \left(T_r + \xi_r^2 T_s\right) & l = m \\ \left[\varepsilon_r T_r + \xi_r \left(1 - \xi_r + \xi_r \varepsilon_r\right) T_s\right] / (n-1) & l \neq m \end{cases}$$
(19)

and the overall probability of making a correct codon-to-amino acid assignment is

$$p = q_r \left(T_r + \xi_r^2 T_s\right) / \left(T_r + \xi_r T_s\right) < q_r$$
⁽²⁰⁾

which, as expected, resembles Eq. (18) very closely.

Eqs. (15)–(20) clearly demonstrate the manner in which the dynamics of an RNA world are completely locked into the fate of the competing replicators R(r,s,..). The functional accuracy p of assigning the "correct" amino acid is enslaved to the ratio T_s/T_r , which is, in turn, enslaved to the ratio R_b/R_a that characterizes the functionality of the replicase population.

We observe that the stationary condition $\phi_G = \rho + \mu/\sqrt{L(n-1)}$ cannot be satisfied under the constraint that the rate of depletion of the products from any template be equal to the overall rate of production from that template, that is $\phi_G = \rho + \mu$, except when ϕ_G , ρ and μ are all zero, the point of extinction, or when unconstrained growth reaches its infinite limit. This illustrates the absolute need of an RCW for some form of extrinsic self-organisation to overcome the extinction problem (Hickinbotham and Hogeweg, 2016) and allow R(*a*) to overcome the problem of R(*b*) parasitism so that stable, finite values of R_a and R_b can be achieved, whereupon T_s/T_r and p will be constrained by the ratio R_b/R_a .

4. Dynamics of enzyme based systems

4.1. Imperfect protein translatases

Consider now the alternative situation in which the aaRS assignment catalysts are protein enzymes $T^{Pr}(u)$ rather than ribozymes $T^{Ri}(r)$. Obviously, the maintenance of the genes G(u) encoding these translatases will suffer the same problem of parasitism as they do in an RCW that uses ribozymal translatases. However, there is an additional problem. Because these translatases are proteins, their production is susceptible to an error catastrophe in the accuracy of translation, even when the exact information encoding them is not threatened by copying errors during replication. This problem is postponed until §5.

We will take account of first order errors in translation by considering one-error variants T(v) of the "wild-type" aaRS T(u) that perform the same function as T(u) but with activity $a_v = \xi_u a_u$ and accuracy $q_v = \xi_u q_u$ both degraded by a factor $\xi_u < 1$. Under these circumstances the translation table \Im has elements given by Eq. (19) with T_u and T_v substituting for T_r and T_s , respectively, and the same substitutions into Eq. (20) give the expression for the probability of correct coding assignments, $p < q_u$.

The expressions for the production of the translatase proteins are obtained from Eq. (7) as

$$dT_{u}/dt = k_{P}p^{L-1} \left[G_{u}p + G_{v}(1-p) / \left\{ L(n-1) \right\} \right] - \phi_{P}T_{u}$$

$$dT_{v}/dt = k_{P}p^{L-1} \left[G_{u}(1-p) + G_{v}p \right] - \phi_{P}T_{v}$$
(21)

where the second term in the expression for dT_u/dt takes account of the rare events in which mistranslation of a mutated gene results in production of a functional protein. In order to find the fixed points

of this system we set $dT_u/dt = dT_v/dt = 0$, solve for T_u and T_v in terms of p and substitute these into Eq. (20) to obtain the quadratic form

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_u - G_v / \{L(n-1)\} - \xi_u (G_u - G_v) \end{bmatrix} p^2 + \left[(1 + q_u) G_v / \{L(n-1)\} + (\xi_u - q_u) G_u + q_u \xi_u^2 (G_u - G_v) \right] p \quad (22) \\ - q_u \left[\xi_u^2 G_u + G_v / \{L(n-1)\} \right] = 0$$

The root of Eq. (22) corresponding to the physically realistic steady state ("o" superscript) is in the range $\xi_u q_u \le p^o \le q_u$. For $\xi_u = 1$ (one error mutants indistinguishable from wild-type) and $\xi_u = 0$ (one error mutants inactive), it occurs at $p^o = q_u$ as expected. The stability of the fixed point requires $\partial(dp/dt)/\partial p < 0$, a condition which can be explored through appropriate manipulation of Eqs. (20)–(22) to show that the required inequality simplifies to $p > p^o(L - 1)/L$, which is clearly satisfied by $p = p^o$, so the fixed point is stable.

4.2. Mixed ribozymal and enzymatic assignment catalysis

We now consider a mixed system in which there are both ribozymal $T^{Ri}(r)$ and protein $T^{Pr}(u)$ translatases which effect codonto-amino acid assignments at different characteristic rates a_r and a_u , respectively, and accuracies q_r and q_u , respectively. Note that the populations of $T^{Ri}(r)$ and $T^{Pr}(u)$ are required to operate the same set of n codon-to-amino acid assignments and $T^{Pr}(u)$ is critically dependent on the genes G(u) being maintained in the RNA population. We will neglect the effects of ribozymal mutants $T^{Ri}(s)$ and protein variants $T^{Pr}(v)$. The form of Eq. (19) relevant to mixed ribozymal-enzymatic translation is

$$\mathfrak{I}_{lm} = \frac{1}{\left(a_r T_u^{Ri} + a_u T_u^{Pr}\right)} \begin{cases} a_r \left(1 - \varepsilon_r\right) T_u^{Ri} + a_u \left(1 - \varepsilon_u\right) T_u^{Pr} & l = m \\ \left(a_r \varepsilon_r T_u^{Ri} + a_u \varepsilon_u T_u^{Pr}\right) / (n-1) & l \neq m \end{cases}$$
(23)

and the accuracy of coded translation is

$$p = \left[a_r \left(1 - \varepsilon_r\right) T_r^{Ri} + a_u \left(1 - \varepsilon_u\right) T_u^{Pr}\right] / \left(a_r T_r^{Ri} + a_u T_u^{Pr}\right)$$
$$= q_r - f\left(\varepsilon_u - \varepsilon_r\right)$$
(24)

where $f = a_u T_u^{Pr} / (a_r T_r^{Ri} + a_u T_u^{Pr})$ is the fraction of codon-to-amino acid assignments that are effected by protein T^{Pr} rather than ribozy-mal T^{Ri} catalysts. The time evolution of the ribozymal and protein translatases is given by

$$\frac{dT_u^{Pr}}{dt} = k_P G_u p^L - \phi_P T_u^{Pr}$$

$$\frac{dT_r^{Ri}}{dt} = (\rho - \phi_G) T_r^{Ri}$$
(25)

and the dynamic fixed point of the translation process corresponds to the solution of the polynomial equation

$$p^{L+1} - (1 - \varepsilon_r)p^L + \psi p - \psi (1 - \varepsilon_u) = 0$$
⁽²⁶⁾

that lies in the range $q_u (presuming <math>q_u < q_r$). Eq. (26) is obtained from Eqs. (23) and (25) and the coefficient of the first order term is $\psi = a_u \phi_P / (a_r T_r^{Ri} k_P G_u)$. The steady state accuracy of translation can also be expressed implicitly in terms of T_u^{Pr} as

$$p^{0} = \sqrt[L]{\phi_{P} T_{u}^{Pr} / (k_{P} G_{u})}$$
(27)

which is the L^{th} root of the ratio of the rate of loss of the protein translatase to the rate of production of proteins using the translatase-encoding gene G(u) as a template-message for translation.

These results, especially Eq. (24), demonstrate the degrading influence of a less accurate protein coding system on a coexistent ribozymal system. The ribozymal coding system will function autonomously, dependent only on maintenance of the ribozymal translatase species $T^{Ri}(r)$. However, the protein coding system $T^{Pr}(u)$ regulates the overall accuracy of coding. The dynamic system has an attractor state in which the protein population makes a contribution $f^{o}k_{P}$ to the overall rate of translation of any genetic template and a contribution of magnitude $f^{o}(\varepsilon_{u} - \varepsilon_{r})$ to the overall error rate $1 - p^{o}$. In other words, whatever selective advantage coded proteins confer on a system incorporating a purely ribozymally operated system of translation, the inception of less accurate enzymic translatases will diminish that advantage, favouring variant systems that lack both the proteinaceous aaRSs and energetic load involved in maintaining the genes that encode them.

Therefore, any "take over" of the function of a ribozymal translatase $T^{Ri}(r)$ by a corresponding protein translatase $T^{Pr}(u)$ would require the deus ex machina appearance, prior to any adaptive selection, of a gene encoding such an enzyme with significant aaRS activity and accuracy $q_{\mu} > q_r$. Thus, the population of ribozymal translatases would have to function accurately enough to support production of $T^{Pr}(u)$ from the gene G(u), but the unadapted G(u)would have to encode an even more accurate translatase, even if its translation product wasn't optimal ($\xi_u < 1$) in the sense of §4.1. This improbable scenario would have to be repeated *n* times for proteinaceous aaRS enzymes to take over a ribozymally operated *n*-letter code. It would be extremely difficult for any takeover step to occur through duplication of a gene acquired at a previous stage on account of the propensity for genes intermediate between those encoding A \rightarrow a and B \rightarrow b assignment functions to have translation products that catalyse the code-conflicting assignments $A \rightarrow b$ and $B \rightarrow a$. Such gene products would degrade coding and thereby the ability of the system to maintain production of the aaRS enzymes that had already taken over the functions of previously existing aaRS ribozymes, because decreasing the accuracy of translation inevitably produces a population of suboptimal aaRS enzymes of even lower overall accuracy as outlined in §4.1. The simulation models of Wills (1993) and Füchslin and McCaskill (2001) now need to be extended to demonstrate this conclusively.

Finally, it should be noted once again that any protein coding system is dependent on the maintenance of a population of templates G(u) that encode the protein translatases $T^{Pr}(u)$ and that both the ribozymal species $T^{Ri}(r)$ and the translatase-encoding species G(u) are required somehow to survive, essentially as parasites, in a world of RNAs replicators. It is the dynamics of gene replication and its effect on the evolution of translation to which we now turn our attention.

4.3. Mixed ribozymal and enzymatic protein replicases

The question of genetic information copying is at the heart of the Darwinian evolution. Let us briefly consider the advent of a protein replicase \mathbb{R}^{Pr} in a functional RCW in which relatively sophisticated and accurate information copying has evolved through selection of a general ribozymal replicase \mathbb{R}^{Ri} , whose catalytic efficiency parameters r_R and codon-copying accuracy parameters q_R produce a high probability, q_R^L , of correctly copying a genetic template of length L. Introducing a protein replicase \mathbb{R}^{Pr} with parameters r_P and q_P , the overall production of any genetic sequence G(i) will, neglecting back-mutation processes, be (c.f. Eq. (11))

$$dG_i/dt = \left[\left(R^{Ri} r_R q_R^L + R^{Pr} r_P q_P^L \right) - \phi_G \right] G_i$$
(28)

and the probability of correct copying will be

$$p = \left(r_R R^{Ri} q_R^L + r_P R^{Pr} q_P^L \right) / \left(r_R R^{Ri} + r_P R^{Pr} \right)$$
(29)

The problem here is the same as with the advent of protein translatases [Eq. (24)]: as long as q_P is significantly less than q_R , which is to be expected for the first proteins showing replicase activity to

emerge in an RCW, the probability of correct copying will be diminished for *all* genes, but especially the ribozymal replicase \mathbb{R}^{Ri} . Since the evolution of the system has been optimized under the constraint of the variables r_R , q_R and \mathbb{R}^{Ri} , all of which will be expected to diminish, the system will be at risk of sudden catastrophic disintegration unless it quickly purges itself of the emergent protein replicase by purifying selection.

5. The self-organizing RNA-protein world: GRT systems

The discussion in §2 recapitulated the requirement established in studies of coding self-organisation (Bedian, 1982; Wills, 1993) for feedback-constrained bootstrapping in relation to the stability of genetic coding as it occurs in living cells. Likewise, §4 developed the conclusion that it is virtually impossible for two discrete molecular genetic systems to couple constructively if they process information with significantly different error rates. In this section, we recognize that the two arguments are intrinsically complementary requirements for efficient self-organization between genetic information and the utilization of proteins for genetic coding. If we consider that errors in information transmission play a role analogous to that of impedance in relation to self-organization, we see that, as the power transfer in dissipative electronic structures is optimal when input and output impedances match, the molecular biological organization observed in living systems would have proceeded more efficiently and hence more rapidly, if at all stages of development, the effects of information processing errors in the replication of nucleic acids matched those in protein synthesis. This leads to the conclusion that genetic coding and information copying using functional proteins are most unlikely to have emerged from a world in which these functions were already performed at a higher level by ribozymes. The increase in the impedance of information transmission caused by the advent of either primitive translatase or replicase enzymes would have destabilized whatever transmission efficiency had been achieved in an extant ribozymal system. Moreover, the RCW does not have the coupling necessary to establish information impedance matching between the separate processes that replicate and translate genetic information. (A deeper analysis of impedance matching in molecular biological information transmission is being developed in a further manuscript.) We can show that such coupling is intrinsic to the PCW.

Living systems produce proteins P(i) from information encoded in genes G(i) using aaRS enzyme translatase $T^{Pr}(u)$ and their nucleic acid genes are copied using protein enzyme transcriptases and replicases R^{Pr} . We present below general equations, written in terms of the simplifications of the current analysis, to compare and contrast the dynamics of the RNA domain and protein domain in both the PCW of molecular biology and the hypothetical RCW.

RNA (genotypic) domain

$$PCW \quad d(G_i, G_R, G_T)/dt = \left(rR^{P_T}q_R^L - \phi_G\right)(G_i, G_R, G_T)$$
(30a)

$$RCW \quad d\left(G_i, R^{Ri}, T^{Ri}\right)/dt = \left(rR^{Ri}q_R^L - \phi_G\right)\left(G_i, R^{Ri}, T^{Ri}\right) \tag{30b}$$

Protein (phenotypic) domain

$$PCW \quad d(P_i, R^{P_T}, T^{P_T})/dt = k_P(G_i, G_R, G_T) p_T^L - \phi_P(P_i, R^{P_T}, T^{P_T})$$
(31a)

$$RCW \quad dP_i/dt = k_P G_i p_T^L - \phi_P P_i \tag{31b}$$

Processes described by these equations are illustrated in Fig. 1. It is evident that Eqs. (30a) & (31a) for gene and protein production in the PCW are tightly coupled through the population variables G_R , G_T , and R^{P_T} which together represent the genes encoding R and T and the replicase enzyme itself; and that the translatase

part of the protein domain is autocatalytic (through p_T). However, in the RCW the dynamics of the RNA activity—replication of genetic information and catalysis of coding assignments—is completely autonomous; events in the protein domain have no effect on the value of any parameter or variable in Eq. (30b). Furthermore, the protein domain is completely dependent on the RNA domain through the variables G_i and p_T , which represent the populations of encoding genes and the accuracy of the ribozymal translatase population, respectively. It is hard to envisage how, considering the elaborate feedback elements furnished uniquely in the PCW, the slow and blunt instrument of selection pressure that proteins might exert on the fitness of nucleic acid sequences in an RNA World could mold a refined system of genetic coding [cf. Fig. 4 of the companion paper, (Carter and Wills, 2017)].

On the other hand, the double risk of an error catastrophe, especially at high error rates, either in information storage (replication) or encoding (translation), or both simultaneously, appears to remain a significant barrier to achieving dynamic stability of the highly coupled PCW of molecular biology, described by Eqs. (30a) and (31a). What kept the emerging world of cellular molecular biology stable when the processes needed to produce the biochemical systems that operate in living cells were so precarious?

The answer to this question is to be found in territory that is unfamiliar to most biologists: the thermodynamics of highly dissipative systems, i.e., metabolically driven systems. The biological relevance of this way of thinking was highlighted in two expositions that appeared back-to-back 45 years ago, the first (Prigogine and Nicolis, 1971) outlining how order can spontaneously emerge from disorder in thermodynamically driven systems with highly coupled dynamics (like Eqs. (30a) and (31a)) and the second (Eigen, 1971b) demonstrating that beyond a certain threshold of digit-copying accuracy, Darwinian selection among replicating polymers drives a system into a dynamic state in which the surviving quasi-species protects a certain quantum of polymer sequence information from degradation due to mutation. The point of the latter observation is that polymer replication is a metabolically driven dissipative process in which there is continual turnover of individual sequences.

Under those circumstances natural selection acts as a selforganizing force that first facilitates takeover of the system by the dominant quasi-species, i.e., the "fittest" individual and its mutant cloud, and second, staves off the error catastrophe that would otherwise threaten genetic information storage (Eigen, 1971a). When this dual principle is transferred from the domain of polymer sequence replication (Eqs. (31a) and (31b)) to the domain of translation in the PCW (Eq. (31a)), coding self-organization (Wills, 1993) can be identified as the force that enables a set of code-executing enzymes (i) to take over protein production, and (ii) to stave off the translation error catastrophe that would otherwise lead to the demise of the entire process of protein synthesis (Orgel, 1963; Wills, 1994). The idea that natural selection explains both (i) the accumulation of genetic information, and (ii) its stable maintenance, has been consolidated in scientific thinking over a period of more than a century and a half. However, the origin and stability of translation have seldom been regarded as a dynamic problem beyond recognition that there is a chicken-egg paradox to be resolved (Rodin and Rodin, 2008), even though the protein synthetic apparatus evidently forms a highly connected autocatalytic network likely to have diverse modes of stable and unstable behaviour.

The tightly coupled nonlinearities inherent in the equations describing the maintenance of both ordered nucleic acid and protein sequences in the world of real molecular biology (Eqs. (30a) and (31a)) strongly suggest that there is an inherent organization among the dynamic forces responsible for the stability of the process. What is most significant in that regard is the possibility of a dire collapse: errors in translation are likely to produce replicases that function erroneously and lead to mutations in translatase

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



(a) RCW: extrinsically self-organised replication-translation coupling



(b) PCW: intrinsically self-organised replication-translation coupling

Fig. 1. Dynamical architecture of encoded protein production.

(a) In the hypothetical RNA Coding World (RCW), RNA templates (red) are copied by a ribozymal replicase *R*, or network of ribozymes (blue), all of which are copied by the same catalytic process. Some templates $\{G_i\}$ serve as genetic information for the production of proteins $\{P_i\}$ with amino acid sequences collinear with the $\{G_i\}$, through a process utilizing a suite of aaRS-like translatase ribozymes $\{T_C\}$ that execute the rules of a code. Both RNA template sequences and protein sequences acquire functionality as a result of spontaneous folding processes. Information processing (storage, copying, assignment catalysis) emanates exclusively from the RNA domain, with which proteins are coupled (yellow) only through their potential to exert some differential selective pressure during template copying. The origin and stability of the organised network necessarily relies on its being encapsulated and outcompeting variant forms under *extrinsic* environmental pressure.

(b) In the Protein Coding World (PCW) of cellular molecular biology, template copying is catalysed by a protein (green) enzyme replicase *R* or suite thereof, for which a (red) template G_R carrying specific genetic information must be preserved. The aaRS translatase enzymes $\{T_C\}$ are also proteins requiring the preservation of templates $\{G_C\}$ in which their amino acid sequences are genetically encoded. In the PCW, the storage, copying and translation of genetic information all require the coupling of the RNA and protein domains as per Eqs. (30a) and (31a). Studies of GRT systems have demonstrated that reaction networks with this information-processing dynamical architecture have an *intrinsic* tendency to self-organise into stable, spatially differentiated structures as a result of the inexorable internal operation of the Turing reaction-diffusion mechanism.

genes, coupling the Orgel and Eigen error catastrophes in a vicious circle. Indeed, as Füchslin and McCaskill (2001) noted in their study of gene-replicase-translatase (GRT) systems, the only physically reasonable solution of Eqs. (30a) and (31a), as they stand, is the attractor state at the origin, where all species have gone extinct. However, in fulfilment of the expectation that these equations are capable of describing a generative as well as a degenerative dynamic pathway, these authors demonstrated that one need only recognize that all of the concentration variables are functions of spatial coordinates (x, y, z) and that molecular Brownian motion demands the inclusion of diffusion terms in all of the chemical rate

equations; and then, there *are* solutions of Eqs. (30a) & (31a) corresponding to the stable maintenance of not only (i) the reflexive genetic information necessary for the operation of encoded protein synthesis, but also (ii) the gene which encodes the replicase, the species most directly involved in arbitrating the reproductive fitness of genetic information generally. In other words, the dynamic coupling in GRT systems is so strong that the elementary physical connection between molecular turnover (chemical reaction) and movement (diffusion) is adequate to cause self-organisation of the coupled computational processes of replicating nucleic acid

Please cite this article in press as: Wills, P.R., Carter Jr., C.W., Insuperable problems of the genetic code initially emerging in an RNA world. BioSystems (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.09.006

10

polymer sequence information and translating it to synthesize functional proteins, the quintessence of molecular biology.

The GRT dynamical architecture of the PCW molecular biological groundplan is intrinsically self-organizing. Unlike the hypothetical RCW it does not require special extrinsic arrangements at some higher level of selection to assure the survival of diverse genes and functional components in compartments that replicate as complete units. Reaction-diffusion coupling (Turing, 1952) both generates and maintains the spatially variegated association of different components of PCW-like GRT systems, leading to functional cooperation among their components and protecting them against the destructive effects of parasitic molecular variants. However, the particular efficacy of the Turing mechanism for GRT systems is grounded in an even stronger dynamic coupling between gene replication and translation. A stationary replicase population supports exponential order growth of gene populations and a stationary gene population supports exponential order growth of translatase enzymes. But when genetic selection improves coding, thereby increasing the exponential rate constant for translatase autocatalysis, and coding self-organisation supports production of an improved replicase, thereby increasing the exponential rate constant for replication, the entire system can display hyperbolic order growth due to cyclical feedback in the relication-translation metaloop in a manner directly equivalent to that seen in hypercycles (Eigen, 1971a).

Thus, the dynamics of the PCW entail a direct, very rapid, intrinsically generative pathway to a self-organised state of encoded information processing, whereas there is no such possibility inherent in the dynamics of the RCW. And it is worth mentioning that bi-directional coding of Class I and II aaRS enzymes (Rodin and Rodin, 2008) provides a natural mechanism for the elimination of problematic competition between the two translatase genes needed for an initial binary code. On the other hand, these factors do not plausibly delineate a continuous pathway directly to anything as sophisticated as the universal genetic code. Various stages of the emergence of the code must have been associated with equivalent stages in the evolution of the ribosomal apparatus as well as metabolic pathways and their control. Transitions between coding states of increasing complexity may have been driven by kinetic processes of hyperbolic order, but these would have been "punctuations" between "equilibria" in coding evolution, during which natural selection acted as the main mechanism for the adaptation of other processes to the new possibilities of computational control. Under those circumstances neither replication nor translation could be expected to maintain stability unless each helped limit the impacts of the other's error rate, that is, unless the coupling of the flow of information between replication and translation was "impedance matched" (see: Fig. 5 of Carter and Wills, 2017).

6. Concluding remarks

The direct evolution of inherited genetic information coupled to encoded functional proteins, as is observed in real-world molecular biology, is far more plausible than any scenario in which there was an initial RNA World of ribozymes sophisticated enough to operate a genetic code. The preservation of encoded information processing during the historically necessary transition from any ribozymally operated code to the ancestral aaRS enzymes of molecular biology appears to be impossible, rendering the notion of an RNA Coding World scientifically superfluous. While this conclusion is grounded in an understanding of exactly how the dynamical architecture of molecular biology can solve the computational chicken-egg paradox of code evolution, it leaves a host of problems concerning the evolution of the complex apparatus of translation unresolved. On the other hand, recognition of the role of reflexivity in driving the intrinsic self-organisation of molecular biological coding has stimulated a deeper enquiry into the relationships between structural and functional determinants of aaRS coding enzymes and their substrates (Carter and Wills, 2017). The formal requirement for reflexive information that encodes assignment catalysts according to the rules of the code they execute is grounded in a more elementary, physical reflexivity.

Instantiation of functional reflexivity in the dynamic processes of real-world molecular interactions demanded of nature that it fall upon, or we might say "discover", a computational "strange loop" (Hofstadter, 1979): a self-amplifying set of nanoscopic "rules" for the construction of the pattern that we humans recognize as "coding relationships" between the sequences of two types of macromolecular polymers. However, molecules are innately oblivious to such abstractions: the matching of amino acids to codons is achieved by folded aaRS structures that are, at least according to quantum mechanical demands, "accidentally" produced through the computationally controlled placement of amino acids with different physical properties in specific positions of variants of two basic protein folds, labeled "Class I" and "Class II". Even this simplest of distinctions, or minimal instruction set, had to be a discovery of itself, a "boot block" that could be built upon and elaborated into the improbably refined system of the universal genetic code through the hierarchical nesting of variant codon-amino acid pairings. This evolution was continuously driven by newly distinguishable structural elements of folded proteins being able to distinguish more accurately between amino acids and corresponding tRNA sequence motifs, at each point precisely instantiating "a difference that makes a difference", which Bateson (1972) defined as the elementary unit of naturally functional information.

Many relevant details of the basic steps of code evolution cannot yet be outlined, but we are nonetheless approaching the point where studies of aaRS phylogenetics can suggest at least partial answers. Furthermore, we can now understand how the selforganised state of coding can be approached "from below", rather than thinking of molecular sequence computation as existing on the verge of a catastrophic fall over a cliff of errors. In GRT systems, an incremental improvement in the accuracy of translation produces replicase molecules that are more faithfully produced from the gene encoding them. This leads to an incremental improvement in information copying, in turn providing for the selection of narrower genetic quasispecies and an incrementally better encoding of the protein functionalities, promoting more accurate translation. The vicious circle can wind up rapidly from below as a self-amplifying process, rather than precipitously winding down the cliff from above. The balanced push-pull tension between these contradictory tendencies stably maintains the system near a tipping point, where, all else being equal, informational replication and translation remain impedance matched - that is, until the system falls into a new vortex of possibilities, such as that first enabled by the inherent incompleteness of the primordial coding "boot block".

Bootstrapped coded translation of genes is a natural feature of molecular processes unique to living systems. Organisms are the only products of nature known to operate an essentially computational system of symbolic information processing. In fact, it is difficult to envisage how alien products of nature found with a similar computational capability, which proved to be necessary for their existence, no matter how primitive, would fail classification as a form of "life".

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The National Institute of General Medical Sciences, (grant number R01-78227 to C.W.C., Jr.). Publication also was made possible through the support of a grant from the John Templeton Foundation. The opinions expressed in this publi-

P.R. Wills, C.W. Carter Jr. / BioSystems xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

cation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton Foundation.

References

- Bateson, G., 1972. Steps to an Ecology of Mind: Collected Essays in Anthropology, Psychiatry, Evolution, and Epistemology. University Of Chicago.
- Bedian, V., 1982. The possible role of assignment catalysts in the origin of the genetic code. Orig. Life 12, 181–204.
- Bedian, V., 2001. Self-description and the origin of the genetic code. BioSystems 60, 39–47.
- Boerlijst, M.A., Hogeweg, P., 1991. Spiral wave structure in pre-biotic evolution, Hypercycles stable against parasites. Physica D 48, 17–28.
- Boerlijst, M.A., Hogeweg, P., 1995. Spatial gradients enhance persistence of hypercycles. Physica D 88, 29–39.
- Breaker, R.R., Emillson, G.M., Lazarev, D., Shingo, N., Puskarz, I.J., Roth, A., Sudarsan, N., 2003. A common speed limit for RNA-cleaving ribozymes and deoxyribozymes. RNA 9, 949–957.
- Breaker, R.R., 2012. Riboswitches and the RNA World. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 4, a003566.
- Carter Jr., C.W., Wills, P.R., 2017. Interdependence, Reflexivity, Fidelity, Impedance Matching, and the Evolution of Genetic Coding. Mol. Biol. Evol. (in press). Claverie, J.-M., Abergel, C., 2010. Mimivirus, the emerging paradox of

quasi-autonomous viruses. Trends Genet. 26, 431–437.

- Claverie, J.-M., Abergel, C., 2016. Giant viruses: the difficult breaking of multiple epistemological barriers. Stud. Hist. Phil. Biol. Biomed. Sci. 59, 89–99.
 Colizzi, E.S., Hogeweg, P., 2016a. Parasites sustain and enhance RNA-like
- replicators through spatial self-organisation. PLoS Comput. Biol. 12, e1004902. Colizzi, E.S., Hogeweg, P., 2016b. High cost enhances cooperation through the
- interplay between evolution and self-organisation. BMC Evol. Biol. 16, 31. Delarue, M., 2007. An asymmetric underlying rule in the assignment of codons, possible clue to a quick early evolution of the genetic code via successive binary choices. RNA 13, 1–9.
- Eigen, M., Schuster, P., 1979. The Hypercycle, A Principle of Natural Self-Organization. Springer, Berlin.
- Eigen, M., McCaskill, J.S., Schuster, P., 1988. Molecular quasi-species. J. Phys. Chem. 92, 6881–6891.
- Eigen, M., 1971a. Selforganization of matter and the evolution of biological macromolecules. Naturwissenschaften 58, 465–523.
- Eigen, M., 1971b. Molecular self-organisation and the early stages of evolution. Quart. Rev. Biophys. 4, 149–212.
- Eisenmesser, E.Z., Millet, O., Labeikovsky, W., Korzhnev, D.M., Wolf-Watz, M., Bosco, D.A., Skalicky, J.J., Kay, L.E., Kern, D., 2005. Intrinsic dynamics of an enzyme underlies catalysis. Nature 438, 117–121.
- Füchslin, R.M., McCaskill, J.S., 2001. Evolutionary self-organization of cell-free genetic coding. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 9185–9190.
- Gilbert, W., 1986. The RNA world. Nature 319, 618.
- Hickinbotham, S., Hogeweg, P., 2016. Evolution Towards Extinction in Replicase Models, Inevitable Unless..., http://evoevo.liris.cnrs.fr/download/evoevo-
- workshop.2016/EvoEvo2016.Hickinbotham.pdf/ (Accessed 21 August 2017). Hofstadter, D.R., 1979. Godel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid. Basic Books, Inc., New York.
- Hogeweg, P., Takeuchi, N., 2003. Multilevel selection in models of prebiotic evolution, compartments and spatial self-organization. Orig. Life Evol. Biosph. 33, 375–403.
- Hordijk, W., Wills, P.R., Steel, M., 2014. Autocatalytic sets and biological specificity. Bull. Math. Biol. 76, 201–224.

Hordijk, W., 2016. Evolution of autocatalytic sets in computational models of chemical reaction networks. Orig. Life Evol. Biosph. 46, 233–245, http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s11084-015-9471-0.

Horning, D.P., Joyce, G.F., 2016. Amplification of RNA by an RNA polymerase ribozyme. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113 (35), 9786–9791.

Küppers, B.-O., 1983. Molecular Theory of Evolution. Springer-Verlag, New York. Kauffman, S.A., 1986. Autocatalytic sets of proteins. J. Theor. Biol. 119, 1–24. Keller, L., 1999. Levels of Selection in Evolution. Princeton University Press,

- Princeton, NJ.
- Koonin, E.V., Novozhilov, A.S., 2009. Origin and evolution of the genetic code the universal enigma. IUBMB Life 61, 99–111.
- Koonin, E.V., 2011. The Logic of Chance, The Nature and Origin of Biological Evolution. Pearson Education; FT Press Science, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Kun, A., Szilágyi, A., Könnyü, B., Boza, G., Zachar, I., Szathmáry, E., 2015. The dynamics of the RNA world, insights and challenges. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1341, 75–95.

- Legendre, M., Arslan, D., Abergel, C., Claverie, J.-M., 2012. Genomics of megavirus and the elusive fourth domain of life. Commun. Integr. Biol. 5, 102–106.
- Markowitz, S., Drummond, A., Nieselt, K., Wills, P.R., 2006. Simulation model of prebiotic evolution of genetic coding. In: Rocha, L.M., Bedau, M., Floreano, D., Goldstone, R., Vespignani, A., Yaeger, L. (Eds.), Artificial Life X. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 152–157.
- Okasha, S., 2006. Evolution and Levels of Selection. Oxford University Press, Oxford. Orgel, L.E., 1963. The maintenance of the accuracy of protein synthesis and its relevance to ageing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 49, 517–521.

Petrov, A.S., Williams, L.D., 2015. The ancient heart of the ribosomal large subunit, a response to Caetano-Anolles. J. Mol. Evol. 80, 166–170.

Prigogine, I., Nicolis, G., 1971. Biological order, structure and instabilities. Quart. Rev. Biophys. 4, 107–148.

Rodin, S.N., Rodin, A.S., 2008. On the origin of the genetic code: signatures of its primordial complementarity in tRNAs and aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. Heredity 100, 341–355.

- Root-Bernstein, M., Root-Bernstein, R., 2015. The ribosome as a missing link in the evolution of life. J. Theor. Biol. 367, 130–158.
- Smith, J.I., Steel, M., Hordijk, W., 2014. Autocatalytic sets in a partitioned biochemical network. J. Sys. Chem. 5, 2.
- Sousa, F.L., Hordijk, W., Steel, M., Martin, W.F., 2015. Autocatalytic sets in E. coli metabolism. J. Syst. Chem. 6, 4.

Szathmáry, E., Demeter, L., 1987. Group selection of early replicators and the origin of life. J. Theor. Biol. 128, 463–486.

Takeuchi, N., Hogeweg, P., 2012. Evolutionary dynamics of RNA-like replicator systems, a bioinformatic approach to the origin of life. Phys. Life Rev. 9, 219–263.

- Takeuchi, N., Hogeweg, P., Kaneko, K., 2017. The origin of a primordial genome through spontaneous symmetry breaking. Nat. Comm. 8, 250, http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/s41467-017-00243-x.
- Turing, A.M., 1952. The chemical basis of morphogenesis. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 237, 37–72.
- Wills, P.R., 1993. Self-organization of genetic coding. J. Theor. Biol. 162, 267–287.Wills, P.R., 1994. Does information acquire meaning naturally? Ber. Bunsen. Phys. Chem. 98, 1129–1134.
- Wills, P.R., 2001, Autocatalysis, information, and coding, BioSystems 50, 49–57.
- Wills, P.R., 2004. Stepwise evolution of molecular biological coding. In: Pollack, J., Bedau, M., Husbands, P., Ikegami, T., Watson, R.A. (Eds.), Artificial Life IX. MIT, Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 51–56.
- Wills, P.R., 2016. The generation of meaningful information in molecular systems. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A A374, 20150016.

12