

Handout 16: The bottleneck in turbulence

At large wavenumbers k , the energy spectrum

$$E(k) = \epsilon^{2/3} k^{5/3} f(k) \quad (1)$$

is expected to have a viscous cutoff that is described by the function $f(k)$. However, $f(k)$ decreases not necessarily monotonically with k .

1 Navier–Stokes equation in Fourier space

For an incompressible fluid, the Fourier-transformed Navier–Stokes equation for $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ can be written in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}} = -i\mathbf{k} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{k}} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot i\mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{q}} - \nu \mathbf{k}^2 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (2)$$

The pressure satisfies a Poisson-type equation, so

$$\mathbf{k}^2 P_{\mathbf{k}} = i\mathbf{k} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot i\mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{q}} \quad (3)$$

and therefore

$$(i\mathbf{k} P_{\mathbf{k}})_i = -\frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot i\mathbf{q}) \hat{u}_q \quad (4)$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot i\mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{q}} - \nu \mathbf{k}^2 \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - k_i k_j / k^2$ is the *projection operator*, which projects out the non-solenoidal (irrotational) components.

An important point here is the fact that all nonlinear interactions proceed via *triads* in \mathbf{k} space where $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$. It turns out that viscosity suppresses those triads that reach deep into the dissipative subrange. This makes nonlinear energy transfer less efficient and can lead to a *pileup* of energy in the inertial range shortly before the dissipative subrange (Falkovich, 1994). This is referred to as the bottleneck effect. To understand why it has not been a prominent effect in wind tunnel and atmospheric turbulence, we have to realize that most observed spectra have been obtained using hot-wire velocimetry and the Taylor hypothesis. We thus have to understand the relation between 1-D and 3-D energy spectra.

2 Relation between 1-D and 3-D energy spectra

To derive the relation between the three-dimensional spectrum $E(k)$ and the total one-dimensional spectrum $E_{1D}(k) \equiv E_L(k) + 2E_T(k)$, we consider a periodic box of volume $V = L_x L_y L_z$ with a turbulent velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$, which has the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 V}} \int_V e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}^3, \quad (6)$$

with the inversion

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}} \int e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}) \, d\mathbf{k}^3. \quad (7)$$

The one-dimensional kinetic energy spectrum is

$$E_{1D}(k_z) = 2 \iint \frac{\langle |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle}{2} \, dk_x \, dk_y, \quad (k_z \geq 0), \quad (8)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an ensemble average, and $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$. The factor 2 in Eq. (8) accounts for the fact that E_{1D} does not distinguish between positive and negative k_z . Normalization of $E_{1D}(k_z)$ is such that

$$\int_0^\infty E_{1D}(k_z) dk_z = \frac{u_{\text{rms}}^2}{2} \equiv \frac{1}{V} \int_V \frac{\langle |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \rangle}{2} dx^3. \quad (9)$$

Equation (8) can also be written as the xy -average

$$E_{1D}(k_z) = \frac{1}{L_x L_y} \int \langle |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(x, y, k_z)|^2 \rangle dx dy \quad (10)$$

and is for homogeneous turbulence equal to $\langle |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(x, y, k_z)|^2 \rangle$ at any point (x, y) .

The three-dimensional velocity energy spectrum is given by

$$E(k) \equiv \int_{4\pi} \frac{\langle |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle}{2} k^2 d\Omega_k, \quad (11)$$

where $d\Omega_k$ denotes the solid angle element in \mathbf{k} -space. $E(k)$ satisfies the relation

$$\int_0^\infty E(k) dk = \frac{u_{\text{rms}}^2}{2}. \quad (12)$$

If \mathbf{u} is statistically isotropic in the sense that the ensemble average of the spectral energy of the velocity $\langle |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle$ is only a function of $k = |\mathbf{k}|$, then $E(k)$ becomes

$$E(k) = 4\pi k^2 \frac{\langle |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle}{2}. \quad (13)$$

To evaluate E_{1D} in this case, we introduce cylindrical coordinates (k_r, ϕ, k_z) in \mathbf{k} -space and write the double integral (8) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1D}(k_z) &= 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\langle |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle}{2} 2\pi k_r dk_r \\ &= 4\pi \int_{k_z}^\infty \frac{\langle |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle}{2} k dk, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

since $k^2 = k_r^2 + k_z^2$, and therefore $k_r^2 = k^2 - k_z^2$. Comparing with Eq. (13), we see that

$$E_{1D}(k_z) = \int_{k_z}^\infty \frac{E(k)}{k} dk, \quad (15)$$

the inversion of which gives

$$E(k) = -k \frac{dE_{1D}(k)}{dk} = -E_{1D} \frac{d \ln E_{1D}(k)}{d \ln k}. \quad (16)$$

References

Dobler, W., Haugen, N. E. L., Yousef, T. A., & Brandenburg, A., “Bottleneck effect in three-dimensional turbulence simulations,” *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 026304 (2003).

Falkovich, G., “Bottleneck phenomenon in developed turbulence,” *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 1411-1414 (1994).